PART ONE
JUDICIAL JURISDICTION

1
Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Subject Matter in International Disputes 5

A. Introduction 5
  1. Plenary State Subject Matter and Legislative Jurisdiction 5
  2. Limited Federal Legislative and Subject Matter Jurisdiction 5
     a. Limited Federal Legislative Authority Under Article I 5
     b. Limited Federal Judicial Authority Under Article III 7
  3. Overview of Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction in International Cases 7
     a. Article III's Grants of Subject Matter Jurisdiction 7
     b. Statutory Grants of Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction 8
     c. Removal 9
     d. Practical Considerations Relevant to Federal Subject Matter Jurisdiction 9
  4. Relationship Between U.S. State and Federal Law 10
     a. The "Erie" Doctrine 10
     b. Substantive Federal Common Law 11
  5. Relationship Between International Law and U.S. Law 13
     a. International Law 14
     b. Relationship Between International Agreements and U.S. Law 15
     c. Relationship Between Customary International Law and U.S. Law 17
     d. Presumption That Congressional Legislation Is Consistent with International Law 18
  6. Separation of Powers Considerations 18
B. Alienage Jurisdiction of Federal Courts 21
   1. Introduction and Historical Background 21
   2. Contemporary Statutory Grants of Alienage Jurisdiction 22
      a. Section 1332(a)(2) 22
      b. Section 1332(a)(3) 23
         Hercules Inc. v. Dynamic Export Corp. 24
         Notes on Hercules v. Dynamic 25
C. Federal Question Jurisdiction in International Cases 30
   1. Introduction and Historical Background 30
      a. Article III’s Grant of Federal Question Jurisdiction 30
      b. Statutory Grants of Federal Question Jurisdiction 30
   2. Jurisdiction of Federal Courts Under the Alien Tort Statute 32
      a. The Alien Tort Statute 32
      b. Filartiga and Tel-Oren 33
         Filartiga v. Pena-Irala 35
         Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain 37
         Torture Victim Protection Act 46
         Notes on Filartiga, Sosa, and TVPA 46
      Filartiga v. Pena-Irala 62
      Sequihua v. Texaco, Inc. 62
      Notes on Third Restatement §111, Filartiga, and Sequihua 64
   4. Sovereign Immunity and Judicial Jurisdiction Under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 70
      Verlinden BV v. Central Bank of Nigeria 70
      Notes on Verlinden 75

2

Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Parties to International Disputes 79

A. Introduction and Historical Background 79
   1. Statutory and Constitutional Requirements for Exercise of Personal Jurisdiction 79
      a. Statutory Authorization for the Exercise of Judicial Jurisdiction 80
         (1) State Long-Arm Statutes 80
         (2) Federal Long-Arm Statutes and Rules 81
      b. Due Process Limits on Judicial Jurisdiction: Historical Overview and Vocabulary 81
         (1) Territorial Sovereignty and Pennoyer v. Neff 82
         (2) International Shoe and “Minimum Contacts” 84
         (3) Hanson v. Denckla and “Purposeful Availment” 85
         (4) World-Wide Volkswagen and Contemporary Due Process Analysis: “Purposeful Contacts” and “Reasonableness” 86
         (5) The Reemergence of Territorial Sovereignty: Asahi, Burnham, and Nicastro 86
## Contents

- c. “General” and “Specific” Jurisdiction 88
- d. Procedural Posture of Litigation over Personal Jurisdiction 89

2. Selected Materials on the Foundations of Personal Jurisdiction 89

- *Pennoyer v. Neff* 89
- *Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws §47 (1934)* 89
- *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson* 92
- *French Civil Code, Article 14* 94
- *European Council Regulation 1215/2012* 94
- Notes on *Pennoyer, World-Wide Volkswagen, and Legislative Materials* 94

B. General Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts in International Cases 106

1. General Jurisdiction Based on Nationality, Domicile, or Residence of the Defendant 106

   - *Blackmer v. United States* 107
   - Notes on *Blackmer* 108

2. General Jurisdiction Based on Incorporation or Registration to Do Business 111

   - a. Incorporation 111
   - b. Registration or Qualification to Do Business 111

   - *Bauman v. Daimler AG* 112
   - Notes on *Bauman* 115

3. General Jurisdiction Based on Consent or Waiver 117

   - a. Prorogation Agreements or Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements 117
   - b. Implied Submissions to Jurisdiction 118

4. General Jurisdiction Based on Personal Service During the Transitory Presence of the Defendant Within the Forum 118

   - *C.S.B. Commodities, Inc. v. Urban Trends (HK) Ltd.* 119
   - *Oyuela v. Seacor Marine (Nigeria), Inc.* 122
   - Notes on *CSB Commodities and Oyuela* 123

C. Specific Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Foreign Defendants 127

1. Specific Jurisdiction in International Product Liability and Tort Cases 127

   - a. Purposeful Contacts and the Stream of Commerce Doctrine 128
   - b. Reasonableness 129
   - c. Defining the Forum “State”: A Preliminary View 129

   - *Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court of California, Solano County* 130
   - *J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro* 134
   - Notes on *Asahi and Nicastro* 140

2. Specific Jurisdiction in International Contract Disputes 152

   - *Afram Export Corp. v. Metallurgiki Halyps, SA* 153
   - *Benton v. Cameco Corp.* 155
   - Notes on *Afram Export and Benton* 159

D. Jurisdiction Based on Corporate Affiliations or Agency Relationships 165

1. Personal Jurisdiction Based on Alter Ego Status 166

   - a. Law Governing Alter Ego Status 166
   - b. *Cannon Manufacturing: The Importance of Corporate Formalities* 168
   - c. Alternative Alter Ego Standards 169

   - *In re Teletronics Paper Systems, Inc.* 171
## Notes on *Telecronics*

2. Personal Jurisdiction Based on Agency Relationship  
   a. Law Governing Agency Relationship for Jurisdictional Purposes  
   b. Agency Standards for Jurisdictional Purposes  
   c. Selected Materials on Agency as a Basis for Personal Jurisdiction in International Cases
   - *Frummer v. Hilton Hotels International*  

### E. Personal Jurisdiction in Federal Courts: Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 and the Due Process Clauses

1. Introduction  
   - a. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4: Amenability and Manner of Service Distinguished  
   - b. Rule 4(k)’s Jurisdictional Grants: An Overview  
   - c. No Federal Personal Jurisdiction Without Legislative Authorization: *Omni Capital*  
   - d. Due Process Limits: “State” or “National” Contacts?

2. Federal Long-Arm Statutes Granting Jurisdiction Based on a Foreign Defendant’s National Contacts  
   - *Pinker v. Roche Holdings, Ltd.*  
   - *J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd. v. Nicastro*

3. Borrowed State Long-Arm Statutes Under Rule 4(k)(1)(A) and the Due Process Clauses

4. Personal Jurisdiction Under Rule 4(k)(2)  

### 3 Foreign Sovereign Immunity and Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts over Foreign States

#### A. Introduction

1. Historical Background
2. The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976: Overview
3. International Recognition of the Restrictive Theory of Foreign Sovereign Immunity
4. Selected Historical Materials Concerning Foreign Sovereign Immunity
   - *The Schooner Exchange v. McFaddon*
   - *Berizzi Bros. Co. v. SS Pesaro*
   - *Republic of Mexico v. Hoffman (The Baja California)*
   - *Letter of Acting Legal Adviser, Jack B. Tate, to Department of Justice, May 19, 1952*
   - *Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976*
   - *Republic of Austria v. Altmann*
   - *U.N. Convention on Jurisdiction Immunities of States and Their Property*
Notes on Schooner Exchange, Pesaro, Republic of Mexico, Tate Letter, FSIA, Altmann, and U.N. State Immunities Convention 228

B. Entities Entitled to Immunity Under the FSIA 234
1. Foreign States Proper 234
2. Foreign State “Political Subdivisions” 236
3. Agencies and Instrumentalities of Foreign States 236
4. Separate Legal Identities of Foreign Government Entities and Grounds for Disregarding 237
5. Foreign Heads of State and State Officials 237
6. Selected Materials on Foreign State Entities 238
   Dole Food Co. v. Patrickson 238
   First National City Bank v. Banco Para el Comercio Exterior de Cuba 240
   Samantar v. Yousuf 244
   Notes on Dole Food, Bancec and Samantar 248

C. Bases for Judicial Jurisdiction over Foreign States Under the FSIA 259
1. Commercial Activity with a U.S. Nexus 260
   a. Definition of “Commercial Activity” 261
   Republic of Argentina v. Weltower, Inc. 262
   MOL, Inc. v. People’s Republic of Bangladesh 266
   Notes on Weltower and MOL 267
   b. The “Based Upon” and “In Connection” Requirements 271
   Saudi Arabia v. Nelson 272
   Notes on Nelson 280
   c. The “Nexus” Requirements 283
   Republic of Argentina v. Weltower, Inc. 284
   Saudi Arabia v. Nelson 285
   Notes on Weltower and Nelson 285
2. Noncommercial Torts Occurring Within the United States 293
   a. Situs Requirement for Noncommercial Torts 295
   In re SEDCO, Inc. 295
   Olsen ex rel. Sheldon v. Government of Mexico 296
   Notes on SEDCO and Olsen ex rel. Sheldon 298
   b. The Discretionary Function Exclusion for Noncommercial Torts 299
   In re SEDCO, Inc. 301
   Letelier v. Republic of Chile 301
   Notes on SEDCO and Letelier 302
   c. The “Scope of Employment” Requirement 304
   Liu v. Republic of China 305
   Notes on Liu v. Republic of China 307
3. Expropriation with a U.S. Nexus 309
   Siderman de Blake v. Republic of Argentina 309
   Notes on Siderman de Blake 313
4. Waiver of Sovereign Immunity 320
   Verlinden BV v. Central Bank of Nigeria 321
   Cargill Int’l, SA v. M/T Pavel Dybenko 325
   Notes on Verlinden and Cargill 326
5. Terrorism-Related Acts 335
   Price v. Socialist People’s Libyan Arab Jamahiriya 337
   Notes on Price 341
PART TWO
CHOICE OF FORUM

Forum Non Conveniens in International Litigation

A. Introduction and Background
   3. Section 1404(a) — Domestic Forum Non Conveniens Statute
B. The Modern Forum Non Conveniens Doctrine: Basic Principles
   Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno
   Dow Chemical Co. v. Castro Alfaro
   Notes on Piper and Castro Alfaro
C. Deference to the Plaintiff’s Choice of Forum
   Iragarri v. United Technologies Corp.
   Notes on Iragarri
D. The “Private and Public Interest” Factors
   Piper Aircraft Co. v. Reyno
   Howe v. Goldcorp Investments, Ltd.
   Harrison v. Wyeth Laboratories Division of American Home Products Corp.
   Notes on Piper, Howe, and Wyeth
E. The Adequate Alternative Forum Requirement and Public Policy Restrictions
   1. Adequate Alternative Forum Requirement
   2. Public Policy as a Basis for Denying Forum Non Conveniens Dismissals
   3. Conditions on Dismissals
   4. Selected Materials on Adequate Alternative Forums, Public Policy, and Conditions
      In re Union Carbide Corporation Gas Plant Disaster at Bhopal, India in December, 1984
      Howe v. Goldcorp Investments, Ltd.
      Laker Airways Ltd. v. Pan American World Airways
      Harrison v. Wyeth Laboratories
      Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Shell Petroleum Co.
      Ecuadorian Law 55
      Model Blocking Statute Adopted by Parlatino
      Notes on Bhopal, Howe, Laker, Wyeth, Wiwa, and Legislative Materials
F. The Contemporary Forum Non Conveniens Doctrine: Applicable Law and the Erie Doctrine
   Sequihua v. Texaco, Inc.
   Notes on Sequihua
G. Venue in International Litigation in U.S. Courts
A. Introduction and Historical Background
1. Exclusive and Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements
2. Reasons for Entering into Forum Selection Agreements
3. Historical Development of Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements
   b. Rejection of the Historic Rule That Forum Selection Agreements Are Unenforceable
   c. Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws
   d. Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements
B. Contemporary Approaches to Forum Selection Agreements in International Cases
1. Contemporary Approaches to Enforceability of Forum Selection Agreements
   a. Forum Selection Agreements Per Se Unenforceable
   b. Forum Non Conveniens Analysis
   c. Forum Selection Agreements Enforced If “Reasonable”
   d. Forum Selection Agreements Enforced as Contracts
   e. New York General Obligation Law §5-1402
2. Forum Selection Agreements Involving Consumers and Insureds
3. Selected Materials on Approaches to the Enforceability of International Forum Selection Agreements
   Kahn v. Tazwell
   Montana Code Annotated
   Smith, Valentino & Smith, Inc. v. Superior Court
   New York General Obligations Law §§5-1401 & 1402
   European Union Council Regulation 1215/2012, Art. 25
   Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements
   Notes on Tazwell, Bremen, Smith, and Legislative Materials
C. Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Forum Selection Agreements
1. Introduction
2. Defects in Formation and Other Contract Law Defenses as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Forum Selection Agreements
   Colonial Leasing Co. of New England v. Pugh Brothers Garage
   Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute
   European Union Council Regulation 1215/2012, Arts. 10-25
   Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (2005), Arts. 2, 3, 5 & 6
   Notes on Colonial Leasing, Carnival Cruise, and Legislative Materials
3. Unreasonableness as a Ground for Resisting Enforcement of Exclusive and Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreements
   a. Unreasonableness or Inconvenience as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Exclusive Forum Selection Agreement
   b. Unreasonableness or Inconvenience as Grounds for Resisting Enforcement of Nonexclusive Forum Selection Agreement
C. Antisuit Injunctions

1. Standards Governing Foreign Antisuit Injunctions in U.S. Courts
   a. Decisions Applying Stringent Limits on Issuance of Foreign Antisuit Injunctions
   b. Decisions Applying More Flexible Standards to Issuance of Foreign Antisuit Injunctions

2. Selected Materials on Foreign Antisuit Injunctions in U.S. Courts
   - China Trade & Development Corp. v. MV Choong Yong
   - Kaepa, Inc. v. Achilles Corp.

Notes on China Trade and Kaepa

PART THREE
LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION AND CHOICE OF LAW

7

Legislative Jurisdiction

A. International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction
   1. Introduction and Historical Background
   2. Contemporary International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction:
      The “Effects Test” and Erosion of Territorial Limits
   3. Selected Materials Concerning the Evolution of International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction
      - J. Story, Commentaries on the Conflict of Laws
      - Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934)
      - Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law of the United States (1965)
      - Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971)
      Notes on Story's Commentaries and Restatements

B. International Law Limits on Legislative Jurisdiction in U.S. Courts
   1. U.S. Federal Law Prevails over Inconsistent Jurisdictional Limits of Customary International Law in U.S. Courts
   2. Presumptions That Congress Has Not Violated International Law and Has Not Extended U.S. Law Extraterritorially
   3. Relationship Between U.S. State Law and Jurisdictional Limits of Customary International Law

C. Constitutional Limitations on Legislative Jurisdiction in U.S. Courts
   1. Constitutional Limits on Federal Legislative Jurisdiction
      - United States v. Davis
      Notes on United States v. Davis
   2. Constitutional Limits on State Legislative Jurisdiction
      a. Historic Constitutional Limits
      - Home Insurance Co. v. Dick
      Notes on Dick
b. Contemporary Constitutional Limits on State Legislative Jurisdiction 603
   (i) Due Process Limitations on State Choice of Law 603
      *Allstate Insurance Co. v. Hague* 604
      Notes on *Allstate* 611
   (ii) Foreign Commerce and Other Constitutional Limitations on State Legislative Jurisdiction 614
      *American Insurance Association v. Garamendi* 617
      Notes on *Garamendi* 621

8

**Choice of Law in International Litigation** 629

A. Application of Federal Statutes in International Cases 629
   1. Determining the Territorial Reach of Federal Legislation in International Cases: The Problem of Silent or Ambiguous Statutes 629
   2. Historical Introduction: The Territoriality Presumption 630
      *American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co.* 635
      *Lauritzen v. Larsen* 638
      Notes on *American Banana, Lauritzen,* and *Aramco* 648
   3. Contemporary Approach to Extraterritorial Application of Federal Antitrust Statutes 657
      a. Overview of U.S. Antitrust Laws 657
      b. From *American Banana’s* Territoriality Presumption to *Alcoa’s* Effects/Intent Test 658
         *United States v. Aluminum Company of America* (“ALCOA”) 659
         *Industrial Investment Development Corp. v. Mitsui & Co.* 660
         Notes on *Alcoa* and *Mitsui* 662
      c. Protests Against the Extraterritorial Application of the U.S. Antitrust Laws 665
      d. Moderating the Extraterritorial Application of the U.S. Antitrust Laws: *Timberlane* and *Third Restatement §403* 667
         *Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law (1965) §40* 668
         *Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America N.T. & SA* 668
         Notes on *Second Restatement, Timberlane,* and *Third Restatement* 670
      e. Contemporary Supreme Court Approach to Extraterritorial Application of U.S. Antitrust Laws: *Hartford Fire* and *Hoffmann-LaRoche* 675
         *Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California* 676
         *F. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Ltd. v. Empagran, SA* 682
         Notes on *Hartford Fire* and *Hoffmann-LaRoche* 686
   4. The Extraterritorial Application of Federal Securities Laws 694
      *Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd.* 696
      Notes on *Morrison* 702
B. Choice of Law Applicable to Torts

1. Traditional Approach: Territoriality and Vested Rights
   a. The Territorial Rule: “Place of the Wrong”
   b. Selected Materials on the Traditional “Place of Wrong” Rule
      - *J. Story, Commentaries on the Conflict of Laws* §§18, 20, 23, 29, 32, 33, 35 & 38 (2d ed. 1841)
      - *Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws* §§1, 6, 377 & 378 (1934)
      - *Alabama Great Southern Railroad Co. v. Carroll*
      - Notes on *Story’s Commentaries, First Restatement, and Carroll*
   c. Characterization, Escape Devices, and Procedural Laws
      - *Victor v. Sperry*
      - *Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §§384, 585, 610, 611 & 612*
      - Notes on *Victor and First Restatement*

2. Contemporary Approaches to Choice of Law Applicable to Torts
   a. Criticism of Vested Rights Doctrine
   b. Contemporary Approaches: Interest Analysis
   c. Contemporary Approaches: Second Restatement’s “Most Significant Relationship” Standard
   d. Contemporary Lower Court Approaches to Choice of Law Applicable to Tort
      - *Currie, Notes on Methods and Objectives in the Conflict of Laws*
      - *Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§6, 10, 122, 145, 146 & 156*
      - *Tramontana v. SA Empresa de Viação Aerea Rio Grandense*
      - Notes on *Currie, Second Restatement (Second), and Tramontana*

C. Choice of Law Applicable to Contracts

1. Party Autonomy and Choice-of-Law Clauses
   b. Contemporary Approach: Choice-of-Law Clauses Are Presumptively Enforceable
   c. Public Policy
   d. Reasonable Relationship
   e. Interpretation of Choice-of-Law Clauses
   f. Selected Materials on Party Autonomy
      - *Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§187 & 204*
      - *The Bremen v. Zapata Off-Shore Company*
      - *Richards v. Lloyd’s of London*
      - *N.Y. General Obligations Law §5-1401*
      - Notes on *Second Restatement, Bremen, Richards, and Minmetals*

   - *Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws (1934) §§332 & 358*
   - *Milliken v. Pratt*
   - Notes on *First Restatement, Milliken, and Louis-Dreyfus*
   Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws (1971) §§188, 198, 202 & 206
   Lilienthal v. Kaufman
   Notes on Second Restatement and Lilienthal

D. Erie and the Choice-of-Law Rules in Federal Courts
   Day & Zimmermann, Inc. v. Challoner
   Liu v. Republic of China
   Notes on Day & Zimmermann and Liu

E. Proof of Foreign Law
   First National City Bank v. Compania de Aguaceros, SA
   Bodum USA, Inc. v. La Cafetiere, Inc.
   Notes on Aguaceros and Bodum

9

Act of State and Foreign Sovereign Compulsion

A. Act of State Doctrine: Introduction and Historical Background

B. Contemporary Formulations of the Act of State Doctrine
   1. The Sabbatino Decision
      Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino
      Notes on Sabbatino
   2. Elements of the Act of State Doctrine
      a. Definition of “Act of State”
      Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba
      Notes on Dunhill
      b. “Sitting in Judgment” on an Act of State: Validity vs. Motivations
      W.S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp.
      Notes on Environmental Tectonics
      c. The Situs Requirement
      Braka v. Bancomer, S.N.C.
      Notes on Bancomer

C. Exceptions to the Act of State Doctrine
   1. The Bernstein Exception
      W.S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp.
      Notes on Environmental Tectonics
   2. The “Commercial” Exception
      Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba
      Notes on Dunhill
   3. The “Treaty” Exception
      Kalamazoo Spice Extraction Co. v. Provisional Military Government of Socialist Ethiopia
      Kuwait Airways Corp. v. Iraqi Airways Co.
      Notes on Kalamazoo Spice and Kuwait Airways

D. Foreign Sovereign Compulsion Doctrine
   Interamerican Refining Corp. v. Texaco Maracaibo, Inc.
   Restatement (Third) Foreign Relations Law §441 (1987)
   Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws §202 (1971)
   Notes on Texaco Maracaibo and Restatements
## PART FOUR
### INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE

### Service of U.S. Process on Foreign Persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Introduction and Historical Background</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Choice of Law</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Service of Process in the United States: An Historical Overview</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Contemporary U.S. Rules Governing Service of Process on Foreign</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defendants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Service of Process in Federal Court Proceedings</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Service of Complaint and Summons Under Rule 4 of the Federal</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules of Civil Procedure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Service of Summons and Complaint as a Jurisdictional Requirement under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Service of Complaint and Summons as a Means of Notice Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Service as Commencing an Action and Tolling Statutes of Limitations Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mechanisms for Serving Foreign Defendants with U.S. Process Within the United States</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. No Service of U.S. Process Abroad by U.S. Consuls</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Rule 4(f)(3): Service as Directed by District Court</td>
<td>873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Waivers of Service Under Rule 4(d)</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Service of Process on Foreign Defendants in State Court Proceedings</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Due Process Clause: Reasonable Notice Requirements for Service</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Service of Process in Foreign Legal Systems</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Rules Governing Service of Process in Foreign Courts</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Restrictions on Service of Foreign Process Within National Territory</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Selected Materials on Foreign Service Restrictions and Letters Rogatory</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Penal Code Article 271</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplomatic Note of January 10, 1980 from the Embassy of France to the U.S. Department of State</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplomatic Note Dated September 27, 1979 from the Embassy of the Federal Republic of Germany to the U.S. Department of State</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of State, Circular on Preparation of Letters Rogatory</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes on Article 271, Diplomatic Notes, and Letters Rogatory</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. A Closer Look at Service of U.S. Process Abroad: Selected Issues 884

1. Service of U.S. Process Abroad Under New Rule 4(f) and Other Legislative Grants Where Foreign Law Restricts U.S. Service 884
   a. Congress May Authorize Service Abroad in Violation of International Law 884
   b. Presumption That Congress Does Not Intend to Authorize Service Abroad in Violation of International Law 884
   c. Rule 4(f)’s Provisions for Service Abroad in Violation of Foreign Law
      Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Nahas 885
      Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(f) 889
      Rio Properties, Inc. v. Rio International Interlink 889
      Notes on Nahas, Rule 4(f), and Rio 983

2. Service of Process Abroad Pursuant to the Hague Service Convention 905
   a. The Hague Service Convention Is Not a Basis for Personal Jurisdiction 906
   b. Historical Background of the Hague Service Convention 906

3. Overview of the Hague Service Convention 908

4. Purposes of the Hague Service Convention 909

5. Scope of Hague Service Convention: “Civil or Commercial” 910

   a. Service Under Article 5(a) in the Manner Used for Service in Domestic Actions 911
   b. Service by Central Authority Pursuant to Article 5(b) 912
   c. Service by the Central Authority Pursuant to Article 5’s “Second Paragraph” 913

7. “Exclusivity” of the Hague Service Convention 913
   a. The Hague Service Convention Preempts Service Mechanisms Under Local Law 913
   b. The Hague Service Convention Provides Service Mechanisms Supplementing Domestic Law
      Kadota v. Hosogai 914
      Notes on Kadota 916

   a. Direct Service by Consular and Diplomatic Channels Under Article 8 920
   b. Indirect Service by Consular and Diplomatic Channels Under Article 9 921
   c. Alternative Service Mechanisms Under Article 10
      (1) Article 10(a): “Sending” Judicial Documents by Mail 921
      (2) Articles 10(b) and 10(c): Service by “Competent Persons” 922
   d. Article 19’s Savings Provision
      Water Splash Inc. v. Menon 923
      Vasquez v. Sund Emha AB 926
      Notes on Water Splash and Vasquez 928
9. Scope of the Hague Service Convention: When Is “Service Abroad” Required and When Can Service Be Effected Within the United States on Foreign Defendants? 933
   Volkswagenwerk AG v. Schlunk 934
   Notes on Volkswagenwerk v. Schlunk 940
10. Default Judgments Under Article 15 of the Hague Service Convention 946
E. Service of Process Abroad Pursuant to the Inter-American Convention on Letters Rogatory 946
F. Service of U.S. Process on Foreign States 947
   1. FSIA’s Regime for Service on Foreign States 947
   2. Alternative Mechanisms for Service Prescribed for “Foreign States Proper” and for Foreign State “Agencies and Instrumentalities” 948
3. Selected Materials on Service Under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 948
   Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act 949
   Magness v. Russian Federation 949
   Notes on FSIA and Magness 952
G. Service of Foreign Process in the United States 955
   1. No Direct U.S. Legal Restrictions on Service of Foreign Process in the United States 955
      a. Section 1696 956
      b. Section 1781 957
   4. Ensuring That Foreign Process Is Served in a Manner That Will Permit U.S. Recognition of a Foreign Judgment 958

11
Extraterritorial Discovery and Taking Evidence Abroad 959

A. Overview of U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad 959
B. Foreign Reactions to Unilateral Extraterritorial U.S. Discovery 963
   1. Foreign “Discovery” Systems 963
   2. Foreign Diplomatic Objections to U.S. Discovery 965
   3. Foreign Blocking Statutes 966
   4. Selected Materials on Foreign Diplomatic Notes and Blocking Statutes 967
      Diplomatic Note from the Secretary of State for External Affairs of Canada to the Ambassador of the United States 968
      French Penal Code Law No. 80-538 969
      Swiss Penal Code Article 273 969
      Philippine Presidential Decree No. 1718 969
      Notes on Objections to U.S. Discovery and Blocking Statutes 969
C. Direct U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad: Judicial Power 971
   1. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery of Documents from Parties to U.S. Litigation 971
2. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Parties by Deposition upon Oral Examination
   a. Standards for Ordering Deposition of Foreign Persons
   b. An Introduction to the Mechanics of Conducting Foreign Depositions
3. Selected Materials on Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Parties
   In re Uranium Antitrust Litigation
   Dubai Islamic Bank v. Citibank, NA
   Notes on Uranium Antitrust and Dubai Islamic Bank
4. Direct Extraterritorial Discovery from Nonparty Witnesses
   Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 45
   28 U.S.C. §§1783 & 1784
   Laker Airways Limited v. Pan American World Airways
   Notes on Rule 45, Pan American World Airways, and §§1783 and 1784
D. Direct U.S. Discovery of Materials Located Abroad: Resolving Conflicts Between U.S. Discovery Orders and Foreign Law
1. Extraterritorial Discovery in Violation of Foreign Law: Historical Introduction and Judicial Power
   a. Historical Introduction
   b. Société Internationale v. Rogers
      Restatement (First) Conflict of Laws §94 (1934)
      Restatement (Second) Foreign Relations Law §§39 & 40 (1965)
      United States v. First National City Bank
      Notes on Restatements and City Bank
2. Extraterritorial Discovery in Violation of Foreign Law: Contemporary Approaches
   Reinsurance Co. of America, Inc. v. Administratia Asigurarilor de Stat
   In re Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation
   Notes on Restatement §442, Reinsurance Company, and Air Cargo
E. Discovery Pursuant to Customary International Judicial Assistance
F. Discovery Abroad Under the Hague Evidence Convention
1. Overview of the Hague Evidence Convention
   a. Scope of the Convention
   b. Central Authority Mechanism
   c. Article 23’s Exception for Pretrial Document Requests
   d. Alternative Methods of Taking Evidence Pursuant to the Hague Evidence Convention
   e. Execution of Letters of Request
   Société Nationale Industrielle Aérospatiale v. U.S. District Court
   Notes on Aérospatiale
   Article 23 Reservations of France, Germany, and Sweden
   Benton Graphics v. Uddeholm Corp.
   In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation
   U.S. Department of State, Circular on Preparation of Letters Rogatory
G. Taking of Evidence in the United States in Aid of Foreign Proceedings 1051
1. Taking of Evidence in the United States Without Court Assistance 1051
2. Taking of Evidence in the United States with Court Assistance 1051

Intel Corporation v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. 1052
Notes on Intel 1058

Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments 1067

A. Introduction 1067
1. Recognition and Enforcement Distinguished 1068
2. Recognition and Enforcement of Sister State Judgments Under the U.S. Full Faith and Credit Clause 1068

B. Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments by U.S. Courts 1069
1. No Express Federal Law Governing Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments 1069
2. Contemporary Approaches to Recognition of Foreign Judgments in the United States 1071
3. Foreign Approaches to the Recognition of U.S. and Other Judgments 1074
4. Abortive Hague Jurisdiction and Judgments Convention and ALI’s Proposed Judgments Legislation 1075
5. Selected Materials on the Presumptive Recognition of Foreign Judgments 1076
Hilton v. Guyot 1076
Restatement (Second) Conflict of Laws §§92 & 98 (1971) 1080
Uniform Foreign Money Judgments Recognition Act 1080
European Union Council Regulation (EC) No. 1215/2012 1080
American Law Institute Proposed Foreign Judgments Legislation 1080
Notes on Hilton, Second Restatement, and Legislative Materials 1080
6. The Reciprocity Requirement 1084
Hilton v. Guyot 1085
Somportex, Ltd. v. Philadelphia Chewing Gum Corp. 1085
Reading & Bates Constr. Co. v. Baker Energy Resources Corp. 1086
Notes on Hilton, Somportex, and Baker Energy 1088
7. The Revenue Rule 1092
Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia v. Gilbertson 1093
Notes on Her Majesty 1094
8. Applicable Law and the Erie Doctrine 1100
Somportex, Ltd. v. Philadelphia Chewing Gum Corp. 1101
International Commercial Arbitration and U.S. Courts: An Overview

A. Overview of International Arbitration
1. Introduction
2. Overview of International Legal Framework for Arbitration
   a. The New York Convention
   c. U.S. Legislation Concerning International Commercial Arbitration

B. Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements Under the New York Convention and the FAA
1. Introduction to Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements
   a. Historical Background
   b. Overview of Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements Under the FAA
   c. Separability of the Arbitration Agreement
   d. Validity and Enforceability of International Arbitration Agreements in U.S. Courts Under the New York Convention
   e. Who Decides Challenges to Arbitral Jurisdiction: Competence-Competence and First Options v. Kaplan
2. Selected Materials on Enforcement of International Arbitration Agreements in U.S. Courts
   UNCITRAL Model Law
3. The Non-Arbitrability Doctrine in U.S. Courts

1177
Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.
1178
Richards v. Lloyd's of London
1183
Notes on Mitsubishi and Richards
1183

4. Interpretation of International Commercial Arbitration Agreements

1186
Apollo Computer, Inc. v. Berg
1187
Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.
1189
Notes on Apollo and Mitsubishi
1191

C. Recognition and Enforcement of International Arbitral Awards

1193
1. Enforceability of International Arbitral Awards Under the New York Convention

1194
2. Selected Materials on the Enforceability of International Arbitral Awards

1195
1195
UNCITRAL Model Law
1195
Parsons & Whittemore Overseas Co. v. Societe Generale de l'Industrie du Papier
1195
Northrop Corp. v. Triad International Marketing SA
1198
Notes on Statutory Materials, Parsons & Whittemore, and Triad
1199

D. Professional Responsibility in International Arbitration

1207
1. Introduction

1207
2. Selected Materials on Professional Responsibility

1208
1208
Williamson v. John D. Quinn Construction Co.
1209
Lawler, Matusky & Skeller v. Attorney General of Barbados
1209
Bidermann Indus. Licencing, Inc. v. Avmar NV
1210
Swiss Penal Code, Articles 271 and 307
1211
French Penal Code Law No. 80-538
1211
ABA Model Rules on Professional Conduct, Rules 5.5(c) & 8.5(b) and Comments
1211
IBA Guidelines on Professional Responsibility in International Arbitration, Guidelines 4 & 5
1212
Notes on Williamson, Lawler, Bidermann, and Legislative Materials
1212

Index

000