

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
<hr/>	
Prologue: Clients, persuasion, and storytelling	1
<hr/>	
Embracing curiosity	4
The recursive process of writing	6
How to use this book	9
<hr/>	
Part I: Introduction to storytelling and client-centered lawyering	11
<hr/>	
1 Meeting the client	13
1.1 Client needs and goals in litigation	13
1.2 Ethical considerations	15
1.2.1 Providing competent service	15
1.2.2 Being a diligent advocate	17
1.2.3 Respecting client autonomy	18
1.2.4 Keeping client secrets: client confidentiality	20
1.3 The client as a key audience	22
1.4 Getting started: learning about your client	23
2 Understanding persuasion	27
2.1 Classical rhetoric	27
2.1.1 Logos: the substance of the argument	29
2.1.2 Pathos: appealing to our audience's emotions	31
2.1.3 Ethos: the credibility of the advocate	33
2.1.4 Putting it all together: persuasion requires logos, pathos, and ethos	35

2.1.4.1	Start with the logos	36
2.1.4.2	Build empathy for your client's position	36
2.1.4.3	Establish your own credibility along with your client's	37
2.2	Audience responses to persuasion	37
2.2.1	Response shaping	37
2.2.2	Response reinforcing	38
2.2.3	Response changing	38
2.3	Cognitive Psychology	39
2.3.1	The Negativity Bias	39
2.3.2	Thinking in images	40
2.3.3	Stock structures in persuasion	42
2.3.3.1	Types of stock structures	44
2.3.3.2	Be careful: stock structures are different to different people	45
2.3.3.3	Many legal disputes are centered in a debate about competing stock structures	46
2.3.4	Priming	47
2.3.5	Framing	48
2.4	What type of persuasive reasoning is needed	49
2.5	Conclusion	50
3	Story as a tool for persuasion	51
3.1	What is a word like "story" doing in a serious legal writing course?	51
3.2	Stories are persuasive, even to lawyers and judges	54
3.3	The biology of storytelling	57
3.4	The storyteller's tool box	59
3.4.1	Structural tools for the legal writer	60

3.4.1.1	Character	60
3.4.1.2	Conflict	60
3.4.1.3	Theme	61
3.4.1.4	Setting	62
3.4.1.5	Perspective	62
3.4.1.6	Point of view	62
3.4.1.7	Plot	63
3.4.1.8	Organization	63
3.4.2	Stylistic tools for the legal writer	64
3.4.2.1	Description and the selection of details	64
3.4.2.2	Stock structures	65
3.4.2.3	Metaphor	66
3.4.2.4	Reference or allusion	66
3.4.2.5	Tone	67
<hr/>		
	Part II: Developing Your Client's Story	69
<hr/>		
4	Thinking about the audience	71
4.1	Trial judge: mentor to the client	72
4.1.1	Judges “need to know the client’s story from the beginning of the case”	73
4.1.2	Role of the trial court as fact finder	75
4.1.3	Respect for higher authority	76
4.2	Appellate judge: mentor to the trial judge?	76
5	Gathering and assessing facts	81
5.1	Facts require context to understand them	84
5.2	A five-step process to thinking about fact gathering and fact assessing	86
5.2.1	First step: what facts are legally relevant?	86

5.2.2	Second step: what is the chronology?	89
5.2.3	Third step: does it all make sense?	91
5.2.4	Step four: have you made any assumptions?	92
5.2.5	Step five: what story will the other side tell?	93
5.3	Tools for investigating and collecting facts	93
5.3.1	Two types of facts: adjudicative and legislative	94
5.3.2	Facts, inferences, and characterizations	96
5.3.2.1	Facts	96
5.3.2.2	Inferences	96
5.3.2.3	Characterizations	97
5.3.3	Formal tools for discovering facts beyond what the client knows	97
5.3.4	Informal tools for discovering facts	98
5.3.4.1	Client interview	98
5.3.4.2	Witness interviews	99
5.3.4.3	Document review	99
5.3.4.4	Informal discussion with opposing counsel	100
5.3.4.5	Electronic sources	100
5.3.5	Conclusion	101
6	Researching the client's legal issue	103
6.1	Identifying the legal issues	104
6.1.1	Identify the domain	105
6.1.2	Identify possible issues within the domain	105
6.1.3	Research the substantive issues	106
6.1.3.1	Identify the domain	106
6.1.3.2	Spot the issues	106
6.1.3.3	Research	106

6.1.4	Research procedural or “side” issues including burdens of proof and standards of review	107
6.2	Organizing your research	108
6.2.1	Commercial research programs	108
6.2.2	Create your own database	109
6.2.2.1	Creating the issues list	109
6.2.2.2	Creating the database of authorities	112
6.3	Selecting the issues to write about	114
6.3.1	Arranging your research results	115
6.3.2	Analyzing your results	117
6.3.3	Selecting the issues for deeper analysis	118
6.4	Next steps	120
7	Representing your client, the protagonist	121
7.1	The client as a character in the legal story	122
7.1.2	The client as hero	123
7.1.3	Using your client’s back story: competing considerations	126
7.1.4	Your client’s character will have weaknesses	127
7.1.5	The client’s narrow and broad goals	128
7.2	Other character roles in the legal action	129
7.2.1	The opposing party: gatekeepers or shape-shifters	129
7.2.2	Secondary characters: Judges, witnesses, and lawyers	131
7.3	Finding your client’s archetype	132
7.3.1	Selecting a heroic archetype	133
7.3.2	Missing information and reasonable inferences	134
7.3.3	Repeating the steps	134

7.4	The client’s obstacle: the conflict type of lawsuit	135
7.4.1	The types of conflicts	136
7.4.2	Identifying the central conflict your client faces	138
8	Shadow stories and theme development	141
8.1	Planning for writing: sketching a “shadow” draft of the Facts section	141
8.1.1	What is the shadow story, and why do we need it?	142
8.1.2	Identifying your theme via the shadow story	145
<hr/>		
	Part III: Creating the working draft	149
<hr/>		
A	Where We Are Now	149
B	What happens next	151
9	Creating the working draft of the Facts section	153
9.1	The Facts section introduces the theme	153
9.2	Plan the plot’s arc	155
9.2.1	Introduction/exposition	158
9.2.2	Complicating incident/rising action	158
9.2.3	Story climax	159
9.2.4	Resolution/falling action	160
9.2.5	Denouement	161
9.3	Putting hands on the keyboard (or the technological equivalent)	161
9.3.1	Be ready to throw away the pity paragraph immediately	162
9.3.2	Taking stock of the story’s “beats”	164
9.3.3	Organize the beats into a cause-and-effect flow	165
9.3.4	Describe actions, settings, objects, and (sometimes) characters	166

9.3.5	Choose the level of detail by changing the perspective of the reader’s viewing of the story	167
9.3.6	Omit characterizations that consist of unsupported opinions rather than facts	169
9.4	Putting down the working draft of the Facts section	171
9¾	Expressing the argument	173
9¾.1	Types of Legal Reasoning	173
9¾.2	Avoid logical fallacies	177
9¾.2.1	Shallow thinking fallacies	177
9¾.2.2	Insufficient evidence fallacies	181
9¾.2.3	Non Sequitur fallacies	185
9¾.2.4	Avoidance fallacies	186
9¾.2.5	A final caution	189
9¾.3	Tying it all together	190
10	Working with the law, part I: Interpreting statutes	193
10.1	How to read a statute	195
10.1.1	The statutory context	196
10.1.2	Reading the statute critically	197
10.1.2.1	To whom does the statute apply?	200
10.1.2.2	Does the statute provide any express definitions of key terms?	200
10.1.2.3	What does the statute require, permit, or prohibit?	200
10.1.2.4	What are the exceptions to the general rule?	200
10.1.2.5	What is the consequence of not complying?	201
10.1.2.6	When does the statute apply?	201
10.2	Text-based interpretive tools	201

10.2.1	Plain meaning	202
10.2.2	Canons of construction	203
10.2.2.1	The plain meaning rule	203
10.2.2.2	Canons regarding specific words	204
10.2.2.3	Canon regarding grammar	204
10.2.2.4	Canons regarding lists of things	204
10.2.2.5	Other textual canons	206
10.2.3	Contextual canons	206
10.2.3.1	The statutory context: definitions and in pari materia	207
10.2.3.2	Consider the historical context	208
10.2.4	Substantive canons	209
10.2.4.1	Punitive statutes are to be narrowly construed; remedial statutes are to be broadly construed	209
10.2.4.2	Constitutional interpretations are favored over unconstitutional interpretations	209
10.2.4.3	The legislature does not intend absurd results	210
10.2.4.4	Statutes codifying the common law are to be broadly construed; statutes in derogation of the common law are to be narrowly construed	210
10.2.4.5	The rule of lenity	210
10.3	Purpose-based interpretation	211
10.4	Legislative history	213
10.4.1	The more the merrier	215
10.4.2	Who's talking makes a difference	215
10.4.3	Not all legislative history is created equal	216

10.4.4	Hindsight is not insight	216
10.4.5	No one voted on this stuff	217
10.5	Case law	217
10.6	Administrative interpretations	219
10.7	How to craft a statutory interpretation argument	219
10.7.1	Look at the statute through a broader lens to come up with a category	220
10.7.2	Look for other statutes that fit into the same category	221
10.7.3	Research-related statutes	221
10.7.4	Communicate to readers that there are analogous interpretations to the statute you are dealing with	222
10.8	Conclusion	223
11	Working with the law, part II: Creating policy arguments	225
11.1	Future world: the uniqueness of policy arguments	226
11.2	When to make policy arguments	227
11.2.1	When one party is arguing for a change in existing law	227
11.2.2	When an argument is based on persuasive authority	228
11.2.3	When the time is right	229
11.3	The nature and types of policy arguments	230
11.3.1	Judicial administration	231
11.3.2	Economic arguments	233
11.3.3	Institutional competence arguments	234
11.3.4	Social values arguments	234
11.4	How to make a policy argument	235
11.4.1	Prediction	235
11.4.2	Judgment about the prediction	235

11.4.3	Support for the prediction and judgment	235
11.4.3.1	Legal support	236
11.4.3.2	Nonlegal support	236
11.4.4	Couch the argument in terms of avoiding bad outcomes	240
12	Working with the law, part III: Managing adverse material	241
12.1	What kind of adverse material is there?	242
12.1.1	Adverse aspects about the lawsuit procedures	242
12.1.2	Relevant facts that conflict with the client's story	242
12.1.3	Adverse interpretations of the applicable law and standard of review	243
12.2	Structuring the counterargument: sidedness and refutation	243
12.3	Considerations when it is unclear whether the opposing party will use the adverse material	248
12.3.1	Inoculation against adverse material	248
12.3.2	Deciding when to manage adverse material	250
12.3.2.1	Address adverse material that you are ethically obligated to raise	251
12.3.2.2	Address adverse facts that you know the opposing party will use	252
12.3.2.3	Address and manage the opponent's strongest argument as soon as you bring it up	253
12.3.2.4	Avoid phantom counterarguments and look for the harder ones to manage	254
12.4	Where to manage the adverse material	255
12.5	Writing counterarguments	257
12.5.1	Know when you have already made the counterargument	257

12.5.2	Avoid defensive phrasing of counterarguments	257
12.5.3	Put the weakest link in the middle	258
12.5.4	Protect your own ethos: stay away from ad hominem attacks	260
12.6	Read the writing of expert legal writers	261
12.7	Wrap-up: this isn't easy, we know	261
13	Working with the facts: Inferential reasoning	265
13.1	Proving facts	266
13.1.1	Fact synthesis	267
13.1.2	Weight of facts	271
13.2	The inferential stream (a/k/a “reasoning with facts”)	273
13.3	Drawing negative inferences	278
13.4	What's next?	279
14	Organizing the working draft of the argument	281
14.1	Choosing your large-scale organization	283
14.1.1	“Logical flow” structure	284
14.1.2	Organizing by type of reasoning	284
14.1.3	Argument chains	285
14.1.4	“Lead with strength” is the default choice	287
14.2	Overviews and headings: signaling the bottom line, up front	287
14.2.1	Overviews lay the groundwork for memory	288
14.2.1.1	Describing the overall legal structure and the arrangement of arguments	289
14.2.1.2	Setting up foundational law	291
14.3	Headings chunk the information	292

14.4	The visual design of headings (and why the scientific notation style?)	294
14.5	Small-scale organization	297
14.5.1	The common organizational arrangement for analogical reasoning	297
14.5.2	Variations (avoid formulaic thinking)	301
14.5.3	An outlined argument using a common variation: Rule of Law at issue	303
14.5.4	Handling factor tests	304
14.5.4.1	Individualized factors	305
14.5.4.2	Interrelated factors	306
14.5.4.3	Factor tests pop up everywhere—even in the middle of an elements test!	307
14.5.5	Local overviews	308
<hr/>		
	Part IV: Revising, polishing and finishing	311
<hr/>		
15	Revising the Argument: Persuasive rule statements and other persuasion techniques	313
15.1	Cognitively priming your readers	313
15.2	Persuasively wording the rules	314
15.2.1	Use client-centered imagery	314
15.2.2	Statutes and common law rules: the same advocacy principles apply	317
15.2.2.1	Writing about statutory law	318
15.2.2.2	Writing about common law	321
15.3	Persuading through headings and introductions	323
15.3.1	Writing persuasive point headings	323
15.3.2	Bottom Line Up Front (BLUF) writing	326
15.4	Rule explanation: illustrating the rule with precise details and stock structures	328

15.4.1	The storytelling of the rule explanation	328
15.4.2	Write about case illustrations by putting the bottom line up front	331
15.4.3	Choosing the length of the rule explanation: in-text versus parentheticals	332
15.4.3.1	Will an illustration help readers at all?	333
15.4.3.2	How important is the case to the argument?	334
15.4.3.3	How complicated are the facts of the case?	334
15.4.4	Drafting parentheticals	334
15.4.4.1	Narrative parentheticals	335
15.4.4.2	List parentheticals	335
15.4.4.3	Quote parentheticals	336
15.5	Application to the client’s facts	337
15.5.1	Show how your legal position is consistent with existing precedent (arguing by analogy)	339
15.5.2	Show how your position is materially different from precedent, and therefore should have the opposite result (arguing by distinction)	342
15.5.3	Show how the plain meaning of a statute directly supports the result your client wants	344
15.5.4	If a statute appears to contradict the result your client wants, show how the statute does not apply	344
16	Revising the story to strengthen the theme	347
16.1	A sequence for revising across the major parts of the document	347
16.2	Revising the facts	349
16.2.1	Reworking “telling” to become “showing” description	349

16.2.2	Organizational revising: Using headings to prime the reader	350
16.3	Revising across the brief	351
16.3.1	Visual impact moments in the Statement of Facts	351
16.3.2	Connecting the Facts to the Argument	355
16.3.3	Choosing the way to refer to the characters	356
16.3.4	Strategizing word choices	358
16.4	What happens next in the writing process?	361
17	Making the first and last impressions: The Introduction and Conclusion sections	363
17.1	The Power of the Introduction	363
17.1.1	Planning the Introduction	365
17.1.2	Expressing the theme of your Argument	369
17.1.3	Developing the character in an Introduction	370
17.1.4	Developing the conflict and the goal of the story	372
17.1.5	Wrap-Up	375
17.2	Making the final impression: writing a persuasive conclusion	375
18	The full contents of a brief	381
18.1	Overview: the contents of a brief depend on the rules of the court	381
18.2	Requirements of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure	382
18.2.1	Appellant's brief	383
18.2.1.1	Corporate Disclosure Statement	383
18.2.1.2	Table of Contents	383
18.2.1.3	Table of Authorities	385
18.2.1.4	Jurisdictional Statement	387

18.2.1.5	Statement of the Issues	387
18.2.1.6	Statement of the Case	394
18.2.1.7	Summary of the Argument	395
18.2.1.8	The Argument	396
18.2.1.9	Conclusion	398
18.2.1.10	Signature	398
18.2.1.11	Certificate of Compliance with Rule 32g(1)	399
18.2.2	Appellee’s brief	399
18.3	Formatting rules	399
19	Creating ethos: tone and branding by good visual design	403
19.1	Document design principles	404
19.1.1	Contrast with size and weight	404
19.1.2	Proximity: keep related items related with appropriate spacing	406
19.1.3	Alignment: justification and tables (and some resume tips)	408
19.1.4	Repetition and document consistency	411
19.3	Application and limitations in legal documents	411
19.4	Writing for onscreen reading	413
19.4.1	Scientific numbering for navigability	414
19.4.2	Incorporating visuals into your document	415
19.4.2.1	Documentary evidence presented as visuals	416
19.4.2.2	Analytical reasoning presented as visuals	416
19.5	Further Readings	419

Part V: Oral argument	421
20 Persuading in person: Oral argument	423
20.1 Setting the stage: the purpose of oral argument	424
20.2 Preparing for argument	427
20.3 Conduct of oral argument	432
20.4 Tips on presentation	437
Epilogue	443
Appendix A: Defendant Arthur Beagle's motion for reconsideration	445
Appendix B: Plaintiff Elaina Hawthorne's brief in opposition to motion for reconsideration	463
Appendix C: Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	479
Appendix D: Defendant's Brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment	491
<i>Index</i>	505
