

# CONTENTS

---

<i>Preface</i>	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxv
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Intellectual Property Regimes	2
1. Patent Law	2
<i>Matt Richtel, Edison . . . Wasn't He the Guy</i>	
<i>Who Invented Everything?</i>	3
2. Copyright Law	5
<i>Tom Zeller, Link by Link; the Imps of File Sharing</i>	
<i>May Lose in Court, but They</i>	
<i>Are Winning in the Marketplace</i>	6
3. Trademark Law	7
<i>Michael Wilson, Chinatown Stores</i>	
<i>Raided in Counterfeit-Goods Sweep</i>	8
<i>Omega, S.A. v. S &amp; N Jewelry Inc.</i>	9
4. Copyright and Patent Law's Common Foundation	12
5. Trade Secret Law	15
B. The Structure of This Book	16
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	
<b>Acquiring Patent Rights</b>	<b>19</b>
Introduction	19
A. Claiming and Disclosing the Invention	28
1. Claim Interpretation	28
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i>	29
Comments	42
2. Enablement	46
<i>O'Reilly v. Morse</i>	46
Comments	50
Policy Perspective: Optimal Claim Scope	
and Patent Law's Delicate Balance	54
<i>Automotive Technologies International,</i>	
<i>Inc. v. BMW of North America, Inc.</i>	55

Comments	61
Comparative Perspective: The Enablement Requirement in Europe and TRIPs	65
3. Written Description	66
<i>Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i>	66
Comments	73
4. Definiteness	77
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i>	77
Comments	84
B. Statutory Subject Matter and Utility	86
1. Statutory Subject Matter	86
Comparative Perspective: Subject Matter Eligibility Under the European Patent Convention	87
<i>Diamond v. Chakrabarty</i>	88
Comments	96
<i>Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.</i>	97
Comments	107
<i>Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc.</i>	111
Comments	118
Comparative Perspective: Patentable Subject Matter, Morality, and Biotechnology in Europe	123
<i>Alice Corporation Pty. v. CLS Bank International</i>	124
Comments	132
Comparative Perspective: Software and Business Method Patents in Europe	142
2. Utility	143
<i>Brenner v. Manson</i>	143
Comments	148
C. Novelty and Priority	149
1. Proving Date of Invention	150
Problem	152
2. “Known or Used”	152
<i>Rosaire v. Baroid Sales Div.</i>	152
Comments	155
Patent Reform Perspective: Novelty Under the AIA	158
Comparative Perspective: Defining Prior Art and Geographical Limitations	163
3. Priority	163
<i>Griffith v. Kanamaru</i>	164
<i>Fujikawa v. Wattanasin</i>	169
Comments	176
D. Nonobviousness	178
1. The <i>Graham</i> Test	180
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i>	180
Comments	186

Comparative Perspective: Section 103's European Counterpart—"Inventive Step"	189
2. Determining Obviousness (or Not)	190
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i>	191
Comments	203
E. Statutory Bars	214
1. On-Sale Bar	216
<i>Space Sys./Loral, Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.</i>	216
Comments	218
2. Public-Use Bar	223
<i>Egbert v. Lippmann</i>	223
Comments	226
Problem	229
Patent Reform Perspective: Statutory Bars and Exceptions Under the AIA	230
Comparative Perspective: Third-Party Activity in Europe and Japan	233
3. Experimental Use	233
<i>Lough v. Brunswick Corp.</i>	233
Comments	238
Problem	239
4. "Printed Publication"	240
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i>	240
Comments	245
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	
<b>Enforcing Patent Rights</b>	<b>249</b>
Introduction	249
A. Literal Infringement	253
<i>Larami Corp. v. Amron</i>	253
Comments	256
B. Doctrine of Equivalents	258
<i>Graver Tank &amp; Manufacturing</i> <i>Co. v. Linde Air Prods. Co.</i>	259
Comparative Perspective: Non-Literal Infringement in Europe	263
<i>Warner-Jenkinson Co. v. Hilton Davis Chem., Inc.</i>	263
Comments	270
C. Limitations on the Doctrine of Equivalents and Claim Scope	275
1. Prosecution History Estoppel	275
<i>Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.</i>	275
Comments	282
2. Disclosure-Dedication Rule	287
<i>Johnson &amp; Johnston Assocs., Inc. v. R.E. Serv. Co., Inc.</i>	287
Comments	293
3. All-Limitations Rule	294
D. Indirect Infringement	296
<i>Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i>	297

Comments	301
<i>Global-Tech Appliances, Inc. v. SEB S.A.</i>	303
Comments	308
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	
<b>Defenses to Patent Infringement</b>	<b>311</b>
Introduction	311
A. The Scope of Patent Exhaustion and the Repair-Reconstruction Doctrine	311
<i>Keurig, Incorporated v. Sturm Foods, Inc.</i>	312
Comments	315
B. The Use (and Misuse) of Contracts in Patent Law	318
1. Patent Misuse	319
<i>Morton Salt Co. v. G.S. Suppiger Co.</i>	319
Comments	321
2. Field-of-Use Restrictions	323
<i>Mallinckrodt v. Medipart</i>	323
<i>Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Electronics, Inc.</i>	329
<i>Lexmark International, Inc. v. Impression Products, Inc.</i>	336
Comments	345
C. Antitrust	351
<i>Nobelpharma AB v. Implant Innovations, Inc.</i>	352
Comments	361
<i>Federal Trade Commission v. Actavis, Inc.</i>	367
Comments	378
D. Inequitable Conduct	381
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co.</i>	382
Comments	389
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	
<b>Remedies for Patent Infringement</b>	<b>393</b>
Introduction	393
A. Money Damages	393
1. Lost Profits	393
<i>Micro Chemical, Inc. v. Lextron, Inc.</i>	394
Comments	397
2. Reasonable Royalty	398
<i>Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.</i>	399
Comments	411
B. Equitable Relief	412
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.</i>	413
<i>Celsis In Vitro, Inc. v. Cellzdirect, Inc.</i>	418
Comments	428
<i>eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.</i>	429
Comments	433

<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	
<b>Acquiring Copyrights</b>	<b>437</b>
Introduction	437
Policy Perspective: The Theories and Policies of Copyright Law	439
A. Requirements for Copyright Protection	441
1. Originality and Authorship	441
<i>Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co.</i>	441
Comments	443
Problem	445
<i>Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.</i>	446
Comments	455
Problem	457
Comparative Perspective: Database Protection	458
<i>Meshwerks, Inc. v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc.</i>	459
Comments	467
2. Fixation	468
Historical Perspective: The Origins of Modern Copyright Law	469
<i>Williams Electronics, Inc. v. Artic International, Inc.</i>	470
Comments	473
<i>Garcia v. Google, Inc.</i>	475
Comments	484
3. Expression	487
<i>Baker v. Selden</i>	487
Comments	490
<i>Nash v. CBS, Inc.</i>	492
Comments	497
<i>Bikram's Yoga College of India, L.P. v. Evolution Yoga,             LLC</i>	500
Problem	509
B. Limitations on Copyrightability	510
<i>CCC Information Services, Inc. v. Maclean         Hunter Market Reports, Inc.</i>	510
Comments	516
Problem	519
C. Classifying Copyrightable Works	519
1. Distinguishing the Work of Authorship from the Copy	520
2. The Statutory Categories	521
a. Pictorial, Graphic, and Sculptural Works	521
<i>Varsity Brands, Inc. v. Star Athletica, LLC</i>	522
Comments	540
Problem	542
b. Derivative Works	542

<i>Schrock v. Learning Curve International, Inc.</i>	543
Comments	549
c. Characters	551
<i>Klinger v. Conan Doyle Estate, Ltd.</i>	551
Comments	557
D. Formalities	558
Comparative Perspective: The Development of International Copyright Law	560
1. Notice	561
2. Registration and Deposit	563
3. Publication	564
<i>Estate of Martin Luther King, Jr. v. CBS, Inc.</i>	564
Comments	568
Problem	569
E. Ownership	570
1. Collaboration and Joint Works	571
<i>Aalmuhammed v. Lee</i>	571
Comments	577
Problem	579
2. Works Made for Hire	580
<i>Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid</i>	580
Comments	588
3. Duration, Renewal, Transfers, and Termination	590
Comparative Perspective: The Duration of Copyright	593
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	
<b>Enforcing Copyrights</b>	<b>595</b>
A. Direct Infringement	596
1. Copying or Independent Creation?	597
<i>Three Boys Music Corp. v. Bolton</i>	597
Comments	603
Policy Perspective: The Reproduction Right Under Section 106(1) and Copies in Computer Memory	605
2. Infringing Copying — Misappropriation	607
<i>Tufenkian Import/Export Ventures,     Inc. v. Einstein Moomjy, Inc.</i>	607
Comments	614
<i>Funky Films, Inc. v. Time Warner Entertainment Co.</i>	616
<i>L.A. Printex Industries, Inc. v. Aeropostale, Inc.</i>	623
<i>VMG Salsoul, LLC v. Ciccone</i>	630
Comments	637
Problems	640
3. The Right to Prepare Derivative Works	642
<i>Micro Star v. FormGen, Inc.</i>	643
Comments	647

Problem	650
4. The Right to Distribute Copies of the Work	650
<i>London-Sire Records, Inc. v. Doe 1</i>	650
Comments	662
Problem	665
5. The Public Display and Public Performance Rights	665
<i>Columbia Pictures Industries v. Redd Horne, Inc.</i>	666
Comments	669
<i>American Broadcasting Corporation, Inc. v. Aereo, Inc.</i>	672
Comments	687
Comparative Perspective: Patent Infringement and Trademark Infringement Compared	689
B. Secondary Liability	689
<i>Fonovisa, Inc. v. Cherry Auction, Inc.</i>	690
<i>Flava Works, Inc. v. Gunter</i>	694
Comments	701
Note on <i>Sony Corp. of America v.</i> <i>Universal City Studios, Inc.</i>	703
Problem	703
<i>Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.</i>	704
Comments	713
<i>Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i>	714
Comments	724
Problem	725
C. The Digital Millennium Copyright Act	725
1. Anti-Circumvention and Technological Protection Measures	726
<i>RealNetworks, Inc. v. Streambox, Inc.</i>	726
Comments	733
2. Protection for Copyright Management Information	735
D. Moral Rights	736
<i>Cheffins v. Stewart</i>	738
Comments	748
Note on <i>Gilliam v. American Broadcasting Companies, Inc.</i>	748
 <b>CHAPTER 8</b>	
<b>Defenses to Copyright Infringement</b>	<b>751</b>
A. Copyright Licensing	752
1. Express Licenses	753
<i>Random House v. Rosetta Books</i>	753
Comments	756
Policy Perspective: New Use Problems	757
2. Implied Licenses	758
<i>Asset Marketing Systems, Inc. v. Gagnon</i>	758
Comments	764
Problem	767
B. Fair Use	767

Historical Perspective: The Curious and Limited Life of Personal Use as Fair Use	772
<i>Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.</i>	775
Comments	785
Counseling Perspective: Fair Use and Copyright Counseling	788
<i>Bill Graham Archives v. Dorling Kindersley Ltd.</i>	789
Comments	796
<i>The Authors Guild v. Google, Inc.</i>	799
Comments	819
Problems	821
Comparative Perspective: Fair Use and Fair Dealing	822
C. First Sale	822
<i>Lee v. A.R.T. Co.</i>	824
Comments	826
Problem	828
<i>Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley &amp; Sons, Inc.</i>	829
Comments	839
D. Safe Harbors For ISPs Under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act	841
<i>Viacom International, Inc. v. Youtube, Inc.</i>	842
Comments	855
<i>Lenz v. Universal Music Corp.</i>	857
Comments	865
E. Compulsory Licenses and Regulatory Copyright	866
1. Compulsory Licenses	867
a. Mechanical Licensing	867
Policy Perspective: Cover Recordings	868
Note on the Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings Act	869
Note on Collective Rights Organizations	870
b. Cable and Satellite Systems	871
2. Special Exemptions for Libraries, Not-for-Profit Organizations, and Intermediaries	872
Note on U.S. Compliance with TRIPs and the Berne Convention	873
<b>CHAPTER 9</b>	
<b>Remedies for Copyright Infringement</b>	<b>875</b>
A. Injunctive Relief	876
<i>Salinger v. Colting</i>	876
Comments	884
<i>Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Reimerdes</i>	885
Comments	890
Note on <i>Suntrust Bank v. Houghton Mifflin Co.</i>	892
Problem	893



B. Damages	893
1. Actual Damages and Profits	894
<i>Dash v. Mayweather</i>	894
Comments	916
<i>Hamil America, Inc. v. GFI</i>	917
Comments	924
2. Statutory Damages	925
<i>Engel v. Wild Oats, Inc.</i>	926
Comments	928
<i>Bryant v. Media Right Productions, Inc.</i>	929
<i>Columbia Pictures Television v. Krypton</i>	
<i>Broadcasting of Birmingham, Inc.</i>	934
Comments	936
C. Additional Remedies	938
1. Seizure and Impoundment	938
2. Attorneys' Fees	938
D. Other Remedial Concerns	939
1. Criminal Penalties	939
<i>United States v. Liu</i>	940
Comments	947
2. Remedies for Violations of Related Rights	949
a. Digital Millennium Copyright Act	949
b. Visual Artists Rights Act	950

## CHAPTER 10

### Acquiring Trademark Rights 951

A. The Concept of Distinctiveness	952
1. Classification of Word Marks	952
<i>Abercrombie &amp; Fitch Co. v. Hunting World, Inc.</i>	953
Comments	959
a. Descriptiveness	960
<i>Zatarain's, Inc. v. Oak Grove Smokehouse, Inc.</i>	960
Comments	969
b. Genericness	972
<i>Horizon Mills Corp. v. QVC, Inc.</i>	972
Comments	979
Problems	981
Theoretical Perspective: Justifications for	
Varying Degrees of Protection of Marks	982
2. Non-Word Marks and Trade Dress	983
a. Distinctiveness of Non-Word Marks	983
<i>Amazing Spaces, Inc. v. Metro Mini Storage</i>	983
Comments	996
b. Trade Dress Distinctiveness	997
<i>Two Pesos, Inc. v. Taco Cabana, Inc.</i>	997

	<i>Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.</i>	1001
	Comments	1008
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Bros., Inc.</i>	1009
	Comments	1013
	International Perspective: International Protection of Nontraditional Marks	1014
B.	The Functionality Doctrine	1015
	<i>Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.</i>	1016
	<i>TrafFix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc.</i>	1017
	Comments	1020
1.	Mechanical Functionality After <i>TrafFix</i>	1022
	<i>Valu Engineering, Inc. v. Rexnord Corp.</i>	1022
	<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i>	1029
	Comments	1035
2.	Aesthetic Functionality	1036
	<i>Au-Tomotive Gold, Inc. v. Volkswagen of America, Inc.</i>	1036
	<i>Christian Louboutin S.A. v. Yves Saint Laurent America Holding, Inc.</i>	1046
	Comments	1056
3.	Putting Functionality Together	1057
	<i>Jay Franco &amp; Sons, Inc. v. Franek</i>	1057
	Comments	1062
	Problems	1063
	Theoretical Perspective: Availability of Alternatives and Promoting Competition	1064
C.	Acquisition, Use, and Priority	1065
1.	Acquisition of Common Law Rights by Use in Commerce	1065
	<i>Blue Bell, Inc. v. Farah Manufacturing Co.</i>	1065
	Comments	1071
	<i>Hana Financial, Inc. v. Hana Bank</i>	1072
2.	Use-Based Registration and Priority	1075
	<i>Allard Enterprises, Inc. v. Advanced Programming Resources, Inc.</i>	1076
	Comments	1081
3.	Intent to Use	1081
	<i>WarnerVision Entertainment Inc. v. Empire of Carolina, Inc.</i>	1082
	Comments	1085
	Problem	1086
D.	Geographic Scope of Rights	1086
	<i>United Drug Co. v. Theodore Rectanus Co.</i>	1087
	Comments	1092
	<i>National Association for Healthcare Communications, Inc. v. Central Arkansas Area Agency on Aging, Inc.</i>	1093
	Comments	1097

Problem	1098
E. Federal Registration	1099
1. The Registration Process	1099
International Perspective: Trademark Registration in Foreign Countries	1102
2. Substantive Grounds for Refusing Registration	1103
a. Actual Use in Interstate Commerce	1103
b. Deceptive, Misdescriptive, and Deceptively Misdescriptive Marks	1104
Problems	1105
c. Geographic Marks	1105
<i>In re California Innovations, Inc.</i>	1105
Comments	1108
Problems	1110
International Perspective: Geographic Marks for Wines and Spirits	1111
d. Scandalous, Immoral, and Disparaging Marks	1111
<i>In re Simon Shiao Tam</i>	1113
3. Opposition and Cancellation	1142
<i>Park 'N Fly, Inc. v. Dollar Park and Fly, Inc.</i>	1143
Comments	1147
F. Loss of Rights	1148
1. Abandonment Through Non-Use	1148
<i>Emergency One, Inc. v. American FireEagle, Ltd.</i>	1149
Comments	1155
2. Naked Licensing and Assignment in Gross	1156
<i>Stanfield v. Osborne Industries, Inc.</i>	1157

## CHAPTER 11

### Rights of Trademark Owners

1163

A. Trademark Infringement	1163
1. The Likelihood of Confusion Standard	1164
<i>Virgin Enterprises Ltd. v. Nawab</i>	1164
Comments	1173
Problems	1181
2. Amount of Confusion	1182
3. Confusion as to What?	1183
a. Sponsorship or Affiliation	1184
<i>Warner Bros., Inc. v. Gay Toys, Inc.</i>	1184
Comments	1186
b. Reverse Confusion	1187
<i>A&amp;H Sportswear, Inc. v. Victoria's Secret Stores, Inc.</i>	1188
Comments	1195
Problem	1195
4. Confusion Away from the Point of Sale	1196

a. Initial Interest Confusion	1196
<i>I-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Lens.com</i>	1196
<i>Multi Time Machine, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i>	1209
Comments	1221
Problem	1222
Policy Perspective: Trademark Rights, Search Costs, and Competition	1223
b. Post-Sale Confusion	1224
<i>General Motors Corp. v. Keystone             Automotive Industries</i>	1224
Comments	1228
c. Secondary Liability	1229
<i>Tiffany (NJ), Inc. v. eBay, Inc.</i>	1229
Comments	1241
Problem	1243
B. Trademark Dilution	1243
<i>Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc.</i>	1244
1. Dilution by Blurring	1246
<i>Starbucks Corp. v. Wolfe's Borough Coffee, Inc.</i>	1246
<i>Visa International Service Association v. JSL Corp.</i>	1253
Comments	1257
2. Dilution by Tarnishment	1259
<i>V Secret Catalogue, Inc. v. Moseley</i>	1259
Comments	1267
Policy Perspective: Trademark Infringement and Dilution	1268
International Perspective: Comparative Treatment of Dilution	1269
C. Cybersquatting	1269
D. Unfair Competition/Passing Off	1271
1. Passing Off	1271
<i>Coca-Cola Co. v. Ed E. Dorris</i>	1272
Comments	1274
2. Reverse Passing Off	1274
<i>Smith v. Montoro</i>	1275
Comments	1277
Problem	1278
3. Limits on the Scope of Unfair Competition Law	1279
<i>Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.</i>	1281
Comments	1287
E. Section 43(a) and the State Right of Publicity	1288
<i>Waits v. Frito-Lay, Inc.</i>	1289
Comments	1301
Theory Perspective: Right of Publicity and First Amendment Concerns	1302

<b>CHAPTER 12</b>	
<b>Trademark Defenses</b>	<b>1303</b>
A. Trademark Use	1304
<i>Rescuecom Corp. v. Google Inc.</i>	1304
Comments	1320
Problem	1321
B. The First Sale Doctrine	1321
<i>Nitro Leisure Products, L.L.C. v. Acushnet Co.</i>	1321
Comments	1332
C. Descriptive Fair Use	1333
<i>KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v.</i>	
<i>Lasting Impression I, Inc.</i>	1334
Comment	1340
<i>Sunmark, Inc. v. Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc.</i>	1340
Comment	1343
Problem	1344
Theoretical Perspective: Balancing	
Tests and Classic Fair Use	1344
D. Comparative Advertising and Nominative Fair Use	1345
<i>August Storck K.G. v. Nabisco, Inc.</i>	1345
Comments	1347
<i>Century 21 Real Estate Corp. v. LendingTree, Inc.</i>	1348
<i>Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc. v. Tabari</i>	1366
Comments	1375
Problems	1376
E. First Amendment/Speech Concerns	1376
<i>Brown v. Electronic Arts, Inc.</i>	1376
Comments	1385
<i>In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name &amp; Likeness Litigation</i>	
( <i>Keller v. Electronic Arts Inc.</i> )	1387
Comments	1403
<b>CHAPTER 13</b>	
<b>Trademark Remedies</b>	<b>1405</b>
A. Availibility of Injunctive Relief	1405
<i>Herb Reed Enterprises, LLC v. Florida Entertainment</i>	
<i>Management, Inc.</i>	1405
Comments	1411
B. Scope of Injunctive Relief	1412
<i>King-Seeley Thermos Co. v. Aladdin Industries, Inc.</i>	1412
<i>Charles Jacquin et Cie, Inc. v. Destileria Serralles, Inc.</i>	1415
Comments	1420
C. Monetary Relief	1421

<i>Maltina Corp. v. Cawby Bottling Co.</i>	1422
Comments	1428
Problems	1431
<i>Tamko Roofing Products, Inc. v. Ideal Roofing Co., Ltd.</i>	1433
Comments	1437
<b>CHAPTER 14</b>	
<b>Trade Secrets</b>	<b>1439</b>
Introduction	1439
A. Defining a Trade Secret	1440
<i>Learning Curve Toys, Inc. v. Playwood Toys, Inc.</i>	1440
<i>Bondpro Corp. v. Siemens Power Generation, Inc.</i>	1452
Comments	1456
Problem	1457
B. Reasonable Steps to Preserve Secrecy	1457
<i>Incase, Inc. v. Timex Corp.</i>	1457
Comments	1460
C. Misappropriation of Trade Secrets: “Improper Means”	1461
<i>E.I. DuPont de Nemours &amp; Co. v. Christopher</i>	1462
Comments	1465
D. The Employer-Employee Relationship	1466
<i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Stoneham</i>	1466
<i>RLM Communications, Inc. v. Tuschen</i>	1471
<i>PepsiCo, Inc. v. Redmond</i>	1478
Comments	1485
<i>Table of Cases</i>	1489
<i>Index</i>	1519