Contents

Preface to the Fifth Edition xxxi
Preface to the Fourth Edition xxxiii
Preface to the Third Edition xxxv
Preface to the Second Edition xxxvii
Preface to the First Edition xxxix
The Constitution of the United States xli

THE ROLE OF THE COURTS IN CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION 1

Judicial Review and Constitutional Structure 3

A. The Origins and Theory of Judicial Review 3
      Marbury v. Madison 7
      Notes 15
   2. Judicial Exclusivity in Constitutional Interpretation? 21
      Cooper v. Aaron 22
      Note 23
B. The Power to Review State Court Judgments 25
   Martin v. Hunter’s Lessee 27
   Notes 31
C. The Adequate and Independent State Grounds Doctrine 34
   Michigan v. Long 34
   Notes 35
D. The Utility of Judicial Review 36
   1. Counter-Majoritarian Role 37
   2. Avoiding the Counter-Majoritarian Problem 38
3. Stability 38
4. Entrenched Error 39
5. Erosion of Constitutional Responsibility by the Political Branches 39

E. Methods of Constitutional Interpretation 40
1. Interpretation or Imagination? 40
   Calder v. Bull 40
   Notes 41
2. The Textual Method 42
3. Historical Argument 43
   a. Original Intent 43
   b. Original Meaning 43
   c. The “Vectors” of History 43
4. Structural Arguments 45
5. Doctrinal Arguments 45
6. Prudential Arguments 46
7. Cultural Arguments 46
8. Constitutional Interpretation Versus Constitutional Implementation 47

F. The Uneven Nature of Judicial Review: Tiered Review and the Unequal Status of Constitutional Claims 48

Doctrines Limiting the Scope of Judicial Review 51

A. Direct Political Controls: Amendment, Appointment, and Impeachment 51
   1. Amendment 52
      a. Non-contemporaneous Ratification 53
      b. Convention Calls 53
      c. Rescission Before Ratification 54
      d. “Unconstitutional” Amendments? 54
   2. Appointment 55
   3. Impeachment 56

B. Congressional Power to Control the Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts 57
   1. Power to Establish Federal Courts 58
   2. Exceptions to and Regulations of Supreme Court Appellate Jurisdiction 58
      Ex parte McCordale 58
      Notes 60
         a. “External” Limits on Congressional Power to Curtail the Supreme Court’s Appellate Jurisdiction 62
         b. “Internal” Limits on Congressional Power to Curtail the Supreme Court’s Appellate Jurisdiction 62
3. Limitations on Congressional Control of the Jurisdiction of Inferior Federal Courts 63
   a. The Mandatory Federal Jurisdiction Position 64
   b. The Discretionary Position 65
   c. The Irrelevance of Motive 66
4. Limitations on Congressional Power to Curtail the Jurisdiction of All Federal Courts 66
   *United States v. Klein* 67
   Notes 68
C. Justiciability: The Proper Role of Federal Courts 70
   1. Advisory Opinions 71
      *The Correspondence of Jefferson and the Justices* 71
      Notes 72
   2. Standing to Sue 74
      a. The Constitutional Core of Standing 74
      *Valley Forge Christian College v. Americans* 74
      *United for Separation of Church and State* 74
      *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife* 76
      Notes 81
      *Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency* 88
      Notes 97
      b. “Prudential” or Non-constitutional Standing Rules 101
         i. Third-Party Standing 102
         ii. The “Zone of Interests” Requirement 102
   c. Organizational Standing 103
3. Ripeness and Mootness 103
   a. Ripeness 103
   b. Mootness 104
4. Political Questions 105
   *Baker v. Carr* 106
   Note 113
   *Nixon v. United States* 114
   Notes 120

---

**ENFORCING THE CONSTITUTIONAL ALLOCATION OF GOVERNMENT POWER** 127

A. Who Should Decide Federalism Issues? 128
B. What Is the Purpose or Value of Federalism? 129
   1. The Liberty of Individual Choice 129
   2. Experimental Laboratories 130
   3. Thwarting Tyranny 130
   4. Controlling Negative Externalities 131
Contents

5. Fidelity to the Constitution’s Design 131
6. The Practical Value of Uniformity 132
C. Federalism and Fundamental Constitutional Rights 132

The Limits of Federal Legislative Power: Judicially or Politically Enforceable Federalism? 135

A. Implementing Enumerated Powers and “Default” Rules 135
   1. Implementing Enumerated Powers: The “Necessary and Proper Clause” 135
      McCulloch v. Maryland 136
      Notes 143
   2. Implying “Default” Rules for Federal and State Power 147
      McCulloch v. Maryland 147
      Notes 150
      U.S. Term Limits, Inc. v. Thornton 152
      Note 159

B. Commerce 159
      Gibbons v. Ogden 160
      Notes 162
      NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp. 172
      Notes 175
      Wickard v. Filburn 175
      Notes 177
   2. The “Counter-Revolution” 178
      United States v. Lopez 178
      Notes 185
      Gonzales v. Raich 189
      Notes and Problems 197
      National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius 200
   3. Limits Imposed by Principles of State Autonomy 204
      a. Substantive Immunity 204
      b. Procedural Immunity 206
      Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority 206
      Notes 210
      New York v. United States 212
      Note 219
      Printz v. United States 220
      Notes and Questions 226
C. Taxation 227
   Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co. (Child Labor Tax Case) 228
   Note 230
   United States v. Kahriger 231
   Notes 232
   National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius 233
D. Spending 238
   United States v. Butler 238
   Note 242
   South Dakota v. Dole 244
   Notes 248
   National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius 249
E. Treaty Implementation 252
   Missouri v. Holland 253
   Notes and Problems 254
F. Power to Regulate in Aid of War-Making 256
   Woods v. Cloyd W. Miller Co. 257
   Problem 258
G. Foreign Affairs 258
H. Power to Enforce the Reconstruction Amendments 262

Limiting the Scope of State Power over Interstate Commerce 263

A. The Dormant Commerce Clause 264
   1. Introduction 264
      a. Theory 264
      b. Doctrinal History 265
         Cooley v. Board of Wardens 267
         Note 269
      c. Modern Doctrine 270
   2. Discrimination Against Interstate Commerce 271
      Philadelphia v. New Jersey 272
      Notes 276
   3. Neutral Burdens on Interstate Commerce 279
      Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona 280
      Kassel v. Consolidated Freightways Corp. 284
      Notes 292
   4. Facially Neutral Regulations with Discriminatory Effects on Interstate Commerce 298
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dean Milk Co. v. Madison</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Assessing Discriminatory Effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Assessing Discriminatory Purposes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v. Healy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Problems</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. States as “Market Participants”: An Exception to the Dormant Commerce Clause</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Central Timber Development, Inc. v. Wunnice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Building &amp; Construction Trades</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council v. City of Camden</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Problems</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Preemption and Consent: Congress Has the Final Word</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Preemption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gade v. National Solid Wastes Management Association</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consent to State Regulation of Interstate Commerce</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. State Taxation of Interstate Commerce</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Auto Transit, Inc. v. Brady</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Separation of Powers</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. The Reasons for Separated Powers</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Executive Action</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. In Domestic Affairs</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown Sheet &amp; Tube Co. v. Sawyer (The Steel Seizure Case)</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Problem</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. The Appointment Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison v. Olson</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The Removal Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison v. Olson</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. In Foreign Affairs 378
   a. General Principles 378
      United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp. 378
      Notes 380
      Zivotofsky v. Kerry 382
      Notes and Questions 387
      Dames & Moore v. Regan 388
      Note 392
   b. War 392
      The Prize Cases 393
      Note 394
      The War Powers Resolution 394
      Notes 396
   c. Treaties and Executive Agreements 406
C. Legislative Action and the Administrative State 409
   1. General Themes 409
      Mistretta v. United States 410
      Morrison v. Olson 416
      Note 419
   2. Specific Limits 420
      a. Nondelegation 420
         Mistretta v. United States 420
         Notes 422
      b. Bicameralism and Presentment 424
         INS v. Chadha 424
         Notes 431
         Clinton v. City of New York 432
D. Immunities and Privileges 438
   1. Legislative Immunities 438
   2. Executive Immunities 439
      Clinton v. Jones 441
      Note 444
   3. Executive Privilege 445
      United States v. Nixon 445
      Note 448

INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS: LIMITS ON THE USE OF GOVERNMENTAL POWER 451

Due Process 455

A. Procedural Due Process 456
   1. Defining the Interests Protected by Due Process 456
Contents

a. Property
   Cleveland Board of Education v. Loudermill 458
   Notes 461
b. Liberty 462
2. Determining the Process That Is Due 464
   Mathews v. Eldridge 465
   Notes 468
B. Substantive Due Process 470
1. The Incorporation Doctrine 472
   Slaughter-House Cases 473
   Notes 478
2. The Rise and Fall of Economic Rights as the Substance of Due Process 483
   Lochner v. New York 486
   Notes 490
3. The Modern Revival: “Privacy” Rights 496
   a. Origins: Contraceptive Use 498
      Griswold v. Connecticut 498
      Notes 505
   b. Abortion 508
      Roe v. Wade 508
      Notes 512
      Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey 517
      Notes 526
      Gonzales v. Carhart; Gonzales v. Planned Parenthood Federation of America 527
      Notes 533
c. Family Relationships 534
   Moore v. City of East Cleveland 535
   Notes and Problems 538
d. The Right to Die 541
   Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health 541
   Notes 545
   Washington v. Glucksberg 546
   Notes 549
e. Consensual Sexual Choices 551
   Lawrence v. Texas 552
   Notes 558
f. Marriage 559
   Obergefell v. Hodges 561
   Notes and Questions 567
g. Other Asserted Privacy or Autonomy Interests 567
h. The Methodology of Substantive Due Process:
A Debate 568

McDonald v. City of Chicago 568

Economic Rights: The Takings and
Contracts Clauses 575

A. The Takings Clause 575
1. The Public Use Requirement 577
   Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff 577
   Notes 579
   Kelo v. City of New London 580
   Notes and Problems 586
2. Regulatory Takings: When Does Regulation Become a
   Taking? 587
   a. Early Approaches 588
      Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon 588
      Notes 590
      Miller v. Schoene 592
      Questions 593
   b. The Categorical Approach 593
      Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council 593
      Horne v. Department of Agriculture 600
      Note 604
   c. The Balancing Approach 606
      Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New
      York City 606
      Notes 609
      Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v.
      Tahoe Regional Planning Agency 610
      Notes and Problem 615
3. Conditional Regulatory Takings 617
   Nollan v. California Coastal Commission 617
   Dolan v. City of Tigard 620
   Notes 623
B. The Contracts Clause 624
   Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell 626
   Note 629
   1. Public Contracts After Blaisdell 630
      United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey 630
      Note 633
Equal Protection

A. Overview
   1. Levels of Judicial Scrutiny
   2. Classifications and Objectives
   3. Categorizing Classifications
B. Minimal Scrutiny: The Default Level of Review
      - Railway Express Agency, Inc. v. New York
      - United States Railroad Retirement Board v. Fritz
   2. Ends: What Purposes Are Not Legitimate?
      - United States Department of Agriculture v. Moreno
      - Romer v. Evans
   3. “Enhanced” Minimal Scrutiny: Is the Problem Means, Ends, or Both?
      - City of Cleburne, Texas v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc.
C. Strict Scrutiny and Suspect Classifications: Race and Ethnicity
   1. Overview
   2. Purposeful Discrimination Required
      - Washington v. Davis
   3. Official Racial Segregation
      a. The Road to Brown
      b. The End of “Separate But Equal”
         - Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (Brown I)
   4. Affirmative Action
      a. First Views: Bakke
         - Regents of the University of California v. Bakke
      b. General Principles
         - City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.

Contents

2. Private Contracts After Blaisdell
   Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus
   Energy Reserves Group, Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co.
   Notes and Problems
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena 705
Notes and Problems 708
c. Public Universities and Public Schools 710
Grutter v. Bollinger 710
Gratz v. Bollinger 721
Notes and Problem 724
Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin 726
Parents Involved in Community Schools v.
Seattle School District No. 1; Meredith v.
Jefferson County Board of Education 727
Problem 735
5. Race and the Political Process 735
Hunter v. Erickson 736
Washington v. Seattle School District 738
Notes 742
Schnurte v. Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action 743
D. Strict Scrutiny and Suspect Classifications: Lawful
Resident Aliens 751
Sugarman v. Dougall 751
Notes 754
E. Intermediate Scrutiny: Sex and Illegitimacy 755
Craig v. Boren 756
Notes 760
Michael M. v. Superior Court of Sonoma County 761
Rostker v. Goldberg 764
Notes 765
United States v. Virginia 767
Notes 775
F. Fundamental Rights: Strict Scrutiny Redux 778
1. Introduction 778
San Antonio Independent School District v.
Rodriguez 779
Notes 783
2. Voting: Denial 784
Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections 784
Notes 785
Crawford v. Marion County Election Board 789
Note and Problem 793
3. Voting: Dilution 794
Reynolds v. Sims 794
Bush v. Gore 796
Notes 798
4. Voting: Gerrymanders 799
Davis v. Bandemer 799
Notes 801
Shaw v. Reno 804
Notes 807
5. Access to Courts 809
   a. Criminal Litigation 809
   b. Civil Litigation 811
      *M.L.B. v. S.L.J.* 811
      Note 814
5. Penalties on the Right of Interstate Migration 814
   a. Origins 814
   b. Two Versions of a Single Right 815
      *Saenz v. Roe* 816
      Problems 821

### Free Expression of Ideas 823

A. Overview of Free Expression 823
   1. Rationales for Free Expression 823
   2. The Distinction Between Content-Based Regulation and Content-Neutral Regulation 826

B. Content-Based Regulation of Speech 829
   1. Incitement of Immediate Crime 829
      a. “Clear and Present Danger” 830
         *Schenck v. United States* 830
         Note 831
         *Abrams v. United States* 831
         Note 833
      b. Criminal Anarchy and Communists: Clear and Not So Present Danger 834
         *Gitlow v. New York* 834
         Notes 836
      c. The Contemporary Standard for Incitement 838
         *Brandenburg v. Ohio* 838
         Notes and Problem 840
   2. True Threats 842
      *Virginia v. Black* 842
      Notes and Problem 845
   3. Obscenity, Pornography, and Putative Analogs 846
      a. Obscenity 847
         *Roth v. United States; Alberts v. California* 847
         Notes 849
         *Miller v. California* 851
         *Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton* 853
         Notes and Problem 855
      b. Pornography 857
         *New York v. Ferber* 857
Notes 860

American Booksellers Association v. Hudnut 860
Note 863
Ashcroft v. The Free Speech Coalition 864
Problems 866
c. Analogs: Depictions of Cruelty and Violence 866
United States v. Stevens 866
Notes and Questions 869
Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association 869

4. Fighting Words 875
Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire 875
Notes 876

5. Offensive Speech 878
a. The General Rule 878
Cohen v. California 878
Notes and Problems 882
b. Hate Speech 883
R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul 886
Wisconsin v. Mitchell 891
Notes 892
Notes 894
c. Hostile Audiences 895
Terminiello v. Chicago 895
Feiner v. New York 896
Note 898
d. Indecent Speech, Broadcasting, and Captive Audiences 899
FCC v. Pacifica Foundation 899
Notes and Problems 902
e. Indecency, Cable Television, and the Internet 905
Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union 906
Notes 908

6. False Statements of Fact 908
a. Defamation 908
New York Times Co. v. Sullivan 908
Notes 911
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc. 913
Notes 916
b. “False Light” Invasion of Privacy 918
c. Lies About Oneself 918
United States v. Alvarez 918
Notes and Question 924

7. Tortious Invasion of Emotional and Economic Interests 925
a. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress 925
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell 925
Notes 927
Snyder v. Phelps 928
b. Public Disclosure of Private Facts 932
  c. Misappropriation of Expression 934

8. Commercial Speech 935
   Virginia Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council 936
   Notes 939
   Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission 940
   Notes 942
   44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island 946
   Notes 949

C. Content-Neutral Regulations of Speech 951
   1. Time, Place, and Manner of Speech 952
      Ward v. Rock Against Racism 952
      Notes and Problems 956
      McCullen v. Coakley 957
      Notes and Problems 963
   2. Expressive Conduct 964
      United States v. O’Brien 964
      Notes and Problems 968
      Texas v. Johnson 969
      Note and Problems 974
   3. The “Secondary Effects” Doctrine 975
      City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc. 976
      Notes and Problems 978

D. Regulation of Speech When the Government Is Both
   Sovereign and Proprietor 980
   1. Public Forum 980
      International Society for Krishna Consciousness, Inc. v. Lee; Lee v. International Society for
      Krishna Consciousness, Inc. 981
      Notes 989
   2. Public Education 994
      Tinker v. Des Moines School District 995
      Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser 996
      Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier 998
      Notes and Problems 1000
   3. Public Employment 1004
      Connick v. Myers 1006
      Notes 1009
      Garcetti v. Ceballos 1012
      Note and Problems 1015
   4. Public Sponsorship of Speech 1016
      Rust v. Sullivan 1018
      Notes and Problems 1019
      Legal Services Corp. v. Velasquez 1021
      Notes and Problems 1024
E. Overbreadth, Vagueness, and Prior Restraints 1027
   1. Overbreadth 1027
      Broadrick v. Oklahoma 1029
      Notes 1030
   2. Vagueness 1033
   3. Prior Restraints 1034
      a. Licensing 1035
      b. Injunctions 1037
         Near v. Minnesota 1038
         Notes 1039
F. Expression Rights Implicit in the Free Speech Guarantee 1041
   1. Freedom of Association 1042
      Roberts v. United States Jaycees 1043
      Notes 1047
      Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights, Inc. 1049
      Notes and Problems 1050
   2. The Freedom Not to Speak 1055
      West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette 1055
      Wooley v. Maynard 1057
      Notes 1059
      Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. Public Utilities Commission 1059
      Notes 1062
      Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston 1064
      Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic and Institutional Rights, Inc. 1065
      Problems 1067
      Problems 1068
G. Free Expression and the Political Process 1068
   1. Money as Speech: Political Contributions and Expenditures 1068
      Buckley v. Valeo 1069
      Notes 1074
      McConnell v. Federal Election Commission 1075
      Notes 1082
      Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission 1084
      Notes 1092
   2. Government Regulation of Elections 1094
      California Democratic Party v. Jones 1095
      Notes 1099
      Republican Party of Minnesota v. White 1101
      Notes 1105
H. Freedom of the Press 1107
   1. Special Privileges for the Press? 1108
      a. A “Reporter’s Privilege”? 1108
b. Immunity from Search? 1109
   c. A Right of Access to Government Information and
      Proceedings? 1109
      Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia 1109
      Notes 1112

2. Singling Out the Press for Unfavorable Treatment 1113
   Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue 1113
   Notes 1117

### The Religion Clauses 1119

A. A Summary of the History and Theory of the Religion Clauses 1119
   1. Two Views of History 1120
   2. The Quest for a Unifying Theory 1121
   3. A Threshold Problem: Defining Religion 1122

B. The Free Exercise Clause 1124
   1. Generally Applicable Laws That Impede Religious Conduct 1125
      Sherbert v. Verner 1125
      Notes 1128
      Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith 1130
      Notes 1135
   2. Legislation That Targets Religious Conduct or Belief 1137
      Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah 1137
      Notes and Problem 1141
      Locke v. Davey 1142
      Note and Problem 1146

C. The Establishment Clause 1146
   1. Government Financial Aid to Religion 1148
      Everson v. Board of Education 1148
      Notes 1149
      Mueller v. Allen 1150
      Notes 1153
      Agostini v. Felton 1155
      Note and Problems 1157
      Zelman v. Simmons-Harris 1158
      Notes and Problem 1163
   2. Governmental Endorsement of Religious Belief or
      Non-belief and Governmental Coercion to Believe or
      Not Believe 1164
      a. Religion and Public Schools 1164
         Lee v. Weisman 1166
Notes 1170
Edwards v. Aguillard 1171
Note 1175
Good News Club v. Milford Central School 1176
b. Other Government Adoption of Religious Symbols 1177
Lynch v. Donnelly 1178
Notes 1182
McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky 1184
Van Orden v. Perry 1188
Notes and Problems 1192
Town of Greece v. Galloway 1193
Notes and Questions 1200
3. Governmental Accommodation of Religion 1200
a. Cession of Government Power to Religion 1201
b. Religious Exemptions from General Requirements 1202

State Action and the Power to Enforce Constitutional Rights 1205

A. State Action 1205
1. The Public Function Doctrine 1206
Marsh v. Alabama 1208
Notes 1209
Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co. 1210
Notes 1212
2. Inextricable Entanglement 1214
Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority 1214
Notes 1216
Brentwood Academy v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Association 1217
Notes and Problems 1221
3. Coercion and Encouragement 1222
Shelley v. Kraemer 1222
Notes 1224
Reitman v. Mulkey 1225
Notes 1228
B. Congressional Power to Enforce Constitutional Rights 1230
1. The Scope of Enforcement Power: Public or Private Conduct? 1230
Civil Rights Cases 1230
Notes and Problems 1232
2. The Scope of Enforcement Power: Remedial or Substantive? 1235
   
   Katzenbach v. Morgan 1236
   Notes 1238
   City of Boerne v. Flores 1240
   Notes and Problems 1243
   Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett 1245
   Notes and Problems 1249
   Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs 1250
   Notes 1253
   Shelby County v. Holder 1256
   Note 1263

The Right to Keep and Bear Arms 1265

   District of Columbia v. Heller 1265
   Notes 1283

Table of Cases 1287
Index 1301