Contents

Preface xxii
Acknowledgments xxiii

Introduction 1

PART I
The Nature and Evolution of Environmental Law 3

The Nature of Environmental Problems 5
A. Pollution 6
   1. Air Pollution 6
   2. Water Pollution 9
   3. Solid and Hazardous Wastes 11
   4. Toxic Chemicals 14
B. Overuse of Natural Resources 15
C. Ecosystem Degradation 17
D. Implications for Environmental Law 20
   Richard J. Lazarus, Restoring What’s Environmental About Environmental Law in the Supreme Court 20
   Notes and Questions On Lazarus Article 24
   A Continuing Case Study—Climate Disruption 24

Common Law Solutions 31
A. Common Law Approaches—From Strict Rights to Balance 32
   Trespass and Nuisance Problem: Carbon Storage and Sequestration 33
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Trespass</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nuisance</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Trespass or Nuisance?</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Strict Liability for Abnormally Dangerous Activities</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The Promise and Limits of Common Law Solutions</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Remedies: Injunctive Relief or Damages?</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Common Law Goals and Coase</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public and Private Nuisance</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The Usefulness of the Common Law in Addressing Environmental-Mediated Harms</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co.</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Georgia v. Tennessee Copper Co.</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Missouri v. Illinois</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett Hardin, The Tragedy of the Commons</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Hardin</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Disruption Common Law Review Problem</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Common Law to Administrative Law</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. The Idea of Statutory Schemes</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Boomer v. Atlantic Cement: A Reprise</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Statutory Responses: The Clean Air Act as an Example</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Setting Standards for Clean Air</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Setting Standards for Particular Source Controls</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Planning for Overall Air Quality</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. The Role of Administrative Agencies and Judicial Review</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The Scope of Administrative Agency Authority (Delegation and Nondelegation)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Agency Decision Procedures and the Role of Outside Parties</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Agency Procedures</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The Role of the Courts</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. The Availability of Judicial Review</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Forcing Agency Action</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Challenges on the Merits—Standards of Judicial Review</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Overton Park</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Questions on Chevron</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Disruption Administrative Law Problem</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART II
Establishing Environmental Standards

A. A Conceptual Roadmap

1. Criteria for Standard Setting
2. The Means of Environmental Protection
3. Allocation of Responsibility

B. Criteria for Standard Setting

Effects-Based Standards

A. Defining “Effects” — Concepts of Risk and Precaution

Ethyl Corporation v. Environmental Protection Agency
Notes and Questions on Ethyl Corporation

B. Ambient Effects-Based Standards

1. National Ambient Air Quality Standards
Lea
d Industries Ass’n, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency
Notes and Questions on Lead Industries

2. Critiques of National Ambient Air Quality Standards
James E. Krier, The Irrational National Air Quality Standards: Macro- and Micro-Mistakes
Notes and Questions on Krier Critique
Clean Air Act Trail Guide

3. Water Quality Standards
Mississippi Commission on Natural Resources v. Costle
PUD No. 1 of Jefferson County v. Washington Department of Ecology
Notes and Questions on Jefferson County and Mississippi Commission

C. Effects-Based Output Standards (Release Limits)

Notes and Questions on the Section 112 Cases

D. Issues in Risk Assessment and Decision Making

Climate Disruption, Toxics, and Risk

E. Postscript: A Segue from Effects-Based to Technology-Based Regulation

Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. American Petroleum Institute [Benzene]
Notes and Questions on Benzene

Technology-Based Standard Setting

A. Feasibility

American Textile Manufacturers Institute, Inc. v. Donovan [Cotton Dust Case]
Notes and Questions on the Cotton Dust Case
1. Technical Feasibility 156
   a. Demonstrated Technology 156
      National Lime Association v. Environmental Protection Agency 156
      Notes and Questions on National Lime 163
      A Note on the Follow-the-Leader Principle, the Problem of Categorization, and Variances 164
      A Note on the Value of Uniformity 167
   b. Technology-Forcing 167
      Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 168
      Notes and Questions on NRDC v. EPA and Technology-Forcing 172
2. Economic Feasibility 173
   Association of Pacific Fisheries v. EPA 174
   Notes and Questions on Pacific Fisheries and Economic Feasibility 179
   A Trail Guide to the Clean Water Act (CWA) 183
B. The Variety of Technology-Based Standards 187
   Technology-Based Standard Setting’s Problem 188
C. Technology-Based Standard Settings Record 193

Cost-Benefit Approaches 197

A. CBA in the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and the Safe Drinking Water Act 198
   Asbestos; Manufacture, Importation, Processing, and Distribution in Commerce Prohibitions 200
   Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA 204
   Notes and Questions on Corrosion Proof Fittings, TSCA, FIFRA, and the SDWA 211
B. Review Under the Executive Orders 213
   Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper, Inc. 215
   Notes and Questions on Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper 223
C. The Policy Debate 223
   1. Tradeoffs 223
      Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill 224
      Notes and Questions on the Endangered Species Act 231
   2. The Supermandate Idea 233
   3. Priority Setting and Dollars Per Life Saved Tables 233
   4. Quantifying Risk and Ecological Harm 234
   5. Preferences and Statistical Life 234
C. The Means of Environmental Protection 241

Traditional Regulation 243

A. Performance Standards 243
   Sierra Club v. Costle 244
   Notes and Questions on the Performance Standard in Sierra Club v. Costle 244
   Notes and Questions on Technological Innovation 246

B. Work Practice Standards 247
   Adamo Wrecking Co. v. United States 248
   Notes and Questions on Adamo Wrecking and Work Practice Standards 253

C. Bans 254
   1. Absolute Bans 254
   2. Partial Bans 256
      Environmental Defense Fund v. Environmental Protection Agency 257
      Notes and Questions on Environmental Defense Fund 264
   3. Conditional Bans 265
      Notes and Questions on the Endangered Species Act as a Ban 266

Economic Incentives 269

A. Eco-Taxes 270
B. Pollution Trading 271
   1. Offset Programs 272
      Citizens Against the Refinery’s Effects v. EPA 273
      Notes and Questions on Citizens Against the Refinery’s Effects 276
   2. Cap and Trade 277
      Byron Swift, Command Without Control: Why Cap-and-Trade Should Replace Rate Standards for Regional Pollutants 277
      Notes and Questions on the Acid Rain Program 280
   3. The Hybrid Model and Climate Disruption 282
      Climate Disruption Trading Problem 283
   4. Policy Issues 285
      a. Environmental Justice, Hot Spots, and Currency 285
         James Salzman & J.B. Ruhl, Currencies and the Commodification of Environmental Law 287
         Notes and Questions on Hot Spots and Currency 288
b. Emissions Trading versus Best Available Technology
Bruce A. Ackerman & Richard B. Stewart, Comment:
Reforming Environmental Law
289
Notes and Questions on the Ackerman and Stewart
Critique
293

### Information-Based Approaches

#### A. Information in Government Decision Making

1. NEPA and Its Analogues
   Trail Guide to the National Environmental Policy Act
   (NEPA) and Council on Environmental Quality
   (CEQ) Guidelines
   a. Is an EIS Required?
      *Department of Transportation v. Public Citizen*
      300
      Notes and Questions on *Public Citizen*
      306
   b. What Information Must an EIS Consider?
      i. What Environmental Effects Must Be Considered, and How?
         *Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council*
         308
         Notes and Questions on *Robertson v. Methow Valley
         Citizens Council*
         316
      ii. How and When Should Agencies Consider Cumulative
          Impacts and Alternatives to the Proposed Action?
         *Muckleshoot Indian Tribe v. U.S. Forest Service*
         320
         Notes and Questions on *Muckleshoot Indian Tribe*
         328
   c. Mitigating Environmental Injustice and Cumulative
      Impacts
      *Council of Environmental Quality, Guidance Under the
      National Environmental Policy Act*
      331
      *Department of Transportation (DOT) Order to Address
      Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and
      Low-Income Populations*
      331
   d. NEPA’s Record
      332

2. TSCA and FIFRA Testing Requirements and Other Provisions
   Informing Pollution Control Decisions
   Problem: Testing Requirements for Atrazine Under
   FIFRA
   336

#### B. Information Motivating Private Action

1. Community Right to Know
2. Proposition 65 and Labeling
3. Radon
4. Green Lights
5. Certification Regimes
6. Some Theoretical Perspectives on Information-Based Regimes
   Problem: Information Approaches to Climate
   Disruption
   343
Moving Upstream: Pollution Prevention and Recycling

A. The RCRA Scheme
   A Trail Guide to RCRA
B. The Solid Waste Definition and Recycling Policy
   United States v. ILCO, Inc.
   Notes and Questions on United States v. ILCO
   A Note on the Closed Loop Recycling Exemption
C. RCRA, CERCLA, and the Role of Regulatory Liability in Pollution Prevention
   Notes and Questions on Liability and Source Reduction
   Atrazine Source Reduction Problem

Environmental Restoration

A. The Purpose and Role of Restoration
   1. Whether Restoration?
      Notes and Questions on When Restoration Is Proper
   2. Whither Restoration?
      Notes and Questions on the Nature of Restoration
      A Note on Terminology
B. Remediation of Contaminated Waste Sites
   1. Site Remediation Under CERCLA
      State of Ohio v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
      Notes and Questions on Ohio v. EPA
C. Compensatory Restoration as a Condition of Future Development
   1. Reclamation Under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act
      National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel
      Notes and Questions on National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel
   2. Compensatory Mitigation Under Clean Water Act Section 404
      Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources
      Compensatory Mitigation Problem
      Notes and Questions on Compensatory Mitigation
D. Large-Scale Ecosystem Restoration
   Grand Canyon Trust v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
   Notes and Questions on Grand Canyon Trust
   A Note on Climate Disruption and Restoration
PART III
Allocation of Responsibility

Private Cleanup Responsibility

A. Apportioning Statutory Liability for Environmental Harm
   1. Strict Liability Under CERCLA
      State of New York v. Shore Realty
      Notes and Questions on Shore Realty
   2. Joint and Several Liability Under CERCLA
      O’Neil v. Picillo
      Notes and Questions on O’Neil v. Picillo
   3. Categories of Responsible Parties
      United States v. Bestfoods
      Notes and Questions on Bestfoods
      American Cyanamid Co. v. Capuano
      Notes and Questions on American Cyanamid
      Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company v. United States
      Notes and Questions on Burlington Northern
      Atrazine Superfund Liability and Counseling Problem

Allocation of Government Responsibility

A. Introduction
B. Federal versus State and Local Control
   1. Setting Ambient Effects-Based Standards
   2. Output Standards and State Planning
      a. Attaining Ambient Environmental Standards: SIPs and TMDLs
         Union Electric Co. v. Environmental Protection Agency
         Notes and Questions on Union Electric and SIPs
      b. Assessment of the SIP Process
         Arnold W. Reitze, Jr., Air Quality Protection Using State Implementation Plans—Thirty-Seven Years of Increasing Complexity
         Notes and Questions on Reitze Critique
      c. The Clean Water Act (CWA) Planning Process
         Pronsolino v. Nastri
         Friends of Pinto Creek v. U.S. EPA
         Notes and Questions on Pronsolino and Pinto Creek
         Problem: An Atrazine TMDL
         A Note on the Scope and Coverage of Federal Regulation
   3. Delegating Federal Programs to States
      Save the Bay v. Administrator, EPA
Notes and Questions on Save the Bay 491
C. Enforcing State Obligations 494
   New York v. United States 494
   Notes and Questions on New York 505
   Federalism and Climate Disruption 506
D. Recapitulation: The Residual Role of the Common Law in a Statutory World 507
   City of Milwaukee v. Illinois 508
   Notes and Questions on Milwaukee II 513

PART IV
Compliance and Enforcement 517

Clifford Rechtschaffen, Deterrence vs. Cooperation and the Evolving Theory of Environmental Enforcement 518

Detecting Violations: Permitting, Monitoring, Record Keeping, and Reporting 525

A. Permitting 525
   Atlantic States Legal Found. v. Eastman Kodak Co. 526
   Notes and Questions on Atlantic States 529
   Northwest Envtl. Advocates v. City of Portland 530
   Notes and Questions on Northwest Environmental Advocates 533
B. Monitoring, Record Keeping, and Reporting 534
   1. Monitoring 535
      United States Steel Corp. v. Train 535
      Notes and Questions on U.S. Steel 536
      A Note on the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments 537
   2. Reporting 538
      A Note on Audit Privileges 540

Government Enforcement 543

A. Civil Penalties 543
   1. Maximum Penalty 543
   2. Gravity-Based Penalty 544
   3. Economic Benefit 544
   4. Collection 545
      A Note on Supplemental Environmental Projects 546
B. Criminal Penalties 548
   United States v. Weitzenhoff 548
   Notes and Questions on Knowing Violations 555
   United States v. Ahmad 556
Citizen Enforcement 563

A. Relationship to Government Enforcement 563
   Jones v. City of Lakeland 565
   Notes and Questions on Jones 566

B. Standing and the Gwaltney Problem 567
   Gwaltney of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay
   Foundation, Inc. 568
   Notes and Questions on Gwaltney 571
   Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife 573
   Notes and Questions on Defenders of Wildlife 584
   Steel Company v. Citizens for a Better Environment 585
   Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental
   Services, Inc. 589
   Notes and Questions on Laidlaw and Steel Company 598

C. Attorneys’ Fees 599

D. Enforcement: A General Assessment 601
   Climate Disruption Citizen Suit Problem 602

Table of Cases 603
Index 609